

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
FIDDLER'S CREEK  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT # 2**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District # 2 was held on Wednesday, January 23, 2008, at 9:00 a.m. at the Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Drive, Naples, Florida 34114.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

James Robertson	Chairman
Clifford (Chip) Olson	Vice Chairman
Gretchen Scott	Assistant Secretary
Diane Matire	Assistant Secretary
Victoria DiNardo	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Matt Morris	Client Services Manager
Terry Cole	District Engineer
Anthony Pires, Esq.	District Counsel
Ron Albeit	HOA Manager/Fiddler's Creek Foundation
Mike Charbonneau	Fiddler's Creek Foundation

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Roll Call**

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. and stated all Supervisors were present with the exception of Mr. Olson.

Mr. Robertson thanked the Supervisors for electing him Chairman and Mr. Olsen for his years of service.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Change Order No. 1  
from LakeMasters Aquatic Weed  
Control, Inc. for Lake and Wetland  
Maintenance**

Mr. Adams presented Change Order No. 1 from LakeMasters for additional lake maintenance for Lakes 65 E1, 65 E2 and 63 E3 not included in the recently awarded bid. He reported these areas were part of an existing agricultural ditch, widened and expanded for conveying water to the irrigation holding pond on the north side of the Sandpiper Bridge. He

noted the Change Order called for an additional fee of \$738 per month or \$8,856 per year for two years and a revised contract amount of \$41,388. He recalled the District budgeting \$60,000 for this service in anticipation of additional facilities coming on-line during the year. Mr. Adams confirmed this was in line with the original plan as these areas were completed and ready for routine maintenance.

Mr. Robertson questioned if LakeMasters bid on different criteria than the other bidders. Mr. Adams confirmed all the contractors bid on the same criteria and the addendum had to do with the above mentioned lakes not being ready at the time the contract was bid.

**On MOTION by Ms. Scott and seconded by Mr. Robertson with all in favor Change Order No. 1 from LakeMasters Aquatic Weed Control, Inc. for additional lake and wetland maintenance due to the inclusion of Lakes 65 E1, 65 E2 and 65 E3 in the amount of \$738 per month was approved.**

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion: Marco News Article, "Marco Council Members Receive City E-mail Addresses"**

Mr. Adams noted this discussion as a follow-up to the State Attorney's prosecution of a City Council member for a non-criminal violation for deleting e-mails on his personal computer related to business with the City of Marco Island.

Mr. Pires confirmed he tried to be more conservative on public records and Sunshine Law issues but felt it was easier to be conservative and avoid issues than taking risks and running into issues later on. He advised any e-mails involving District business on personal e-mail accounts or personal computers cannot be deleted as they were subject to inspection and copying procedures under the Public Records Law. He addressed the following risks with a Supervisor using a personal e-mail account to have e-mail communications involving District business:

- People can inspect and copy those e-mails stored in electronic format.
- Places the Supervisor in the position of being subject to litigation.

In consulting with Mr. Adams, Mr. Pires suggested the District set up a separate e-mail account for each Board member on a website. Mr. Pires recalled members of a Planning Committee he serves on using a Planning Commission e-mail address. He acknowledged the Supervisors could receive e-mails on their personal computers, so long as they recognize they

were required to retain them until expiration of their term of office, at which time they can copy the e-mails to a disk to be retained by the District Manager.

Mr. Adams confirmed he will establish a separate CDD e-mail address for each Board member similar to RobertsonJ@FiddlersCreekCDD2.com and posting links on the website for public access. He also suggested posting the e-mail addresses in the newsletter or community channel. He felt the cost was minimal as the District currently had a website and a routine monthly maintenance program and offered to obtain an estimate from the IT Company. However, in the meantime, he requested the Board authorize staff to proceed. Once the links were established, Mr. Adams offered to provide the e-mail address to each Supervisor as well as directions on accessing the system.

Mr. Pires suggested that the cost of staff's time and effort is included in the price.

Mr. Robertson felt Marco Island had issues Fiddler's Creek did not have and will never have, however, he agreed with having a CDD e-mail address in order to avoid any violation of the State law.

Mr. Pires commented a District he was involved with; Gateway Services District was relatively quiet until this year when a number of issues arose of a controversial nature resulting in the District being inundated with public records requests.

Mr. Robertson agreed Mr. Adams approach was in the best interest of the Board. Mr. Adams concurred this was a good insurance policy for the Board to have as there were going to be major changes to the Sunshine Law dealing with e-mails and Mr. Pires recommendation to be pro-active was a good one.

*The record will reflect Mr. Olson joined the meeting.*

**On MOTION by Mr. Robertson and seconded by Mr. Olson with all in favor Mr. Adams was authorized to establish a separate e-mail address for each Board member on the District website.**

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Further Discussion Regarding Irrigation Water Restrictions and Variances**

Mr. Adams reported at the last meeting, the Board discussed the Consumptive Use Permit being under the name of Gulf Bay and applying to the SWFWMD for a variance.

Mr. Albeit reported the variance request was submitted to SFWMD but there had been no response. He hoped to move the eight hours of watering in the afternoon on Thursday to another

day to be able to water from Midnight until 8:00 a.m. rather than 4 p.m. to Midnight. The variance also requested a second watering day.

Mr. Adams confirmed the change in time made it easier to monitor the amount of water being used and preventing the growth of fungus and other diseases by watering too early in the evening from the water ponding too long in the grass.

Mr. Albeit confirmed the community was divided into two sections with 22 villages watering on one day and 18 villages watering on another day. For example, if a resident was watering from Midnight until 8:00 A.M. this week, they will water from 4:00 p.m. until Midnight the next watering day.

Mr. Robertson questioned how the village water was controlled. Mr. Adams confirmed there was an elaborate computer system, which takes the capacity in the pumping facility and operating pressure and breaks down the gallon flows by zone. It further takes the usage for certain zones and puts them into a time slot to make efficient use of the irrigation capacity. Mr. Adams felt this was a good management tool for the irrigation system and was similar to one he used at Pelican Landing for the past six to eight years.

Mr. Robertson recommended since the Water Use Permit was in the name of the developer and their key employees, they should be responsible for how the system operated.

Ms. Scott questioned whether this will take care of some of the dry brown patches of grass. Mr. Albeit confirmed the pressure was not an issue as they discovered the villages needed to have their sprinkler heads and filters cleaned. Mr. Adams felt performing "wet checks" was critical going through the dry season, particularly in April and May as the temperature increased to keep the system operating as efficiently as possible. He noted the contractor for maintenance of the CDD areas was required to perform routine "wet checks".

Ms. Scott requested this information be added to the website.

Mr. Adams assumed this announcement was made through the Village Council President. From a single family perspective, he agreed with posting the information to the website. Mr. Albeit offered to place an item in the newsletter and e-mail blast under the water restrictions recommending cleaning of the heads to get more efficient spray.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS****Follow-up Discussion on Bid Protest from  
Prior Meeting**

Mr. Adams confirmed staff had not heard anything additional from the petitioning party in regards to the bid protest but a Supervisor for CDD #1 requested an Executive Session.

Mr. Pires explained the Board had the option to meet in non-public session under the Sunshine Law so long as minutes were taken and the meeting was properly and publicly noticed. However, he noted one exception having to do with Attorney/Client Sessions, which can only be held when there was pending litigation. Mr. Pires explained pending litigation was construed by the courts to be an exemption to the Sunshine Law as long as the litigation was filed. He felt since nothing was pending, it was not possible to hold a closed door session at this time. However, if litigation was filed, Mr. Pires will advise the Board at a public meeting on the pending litigation and schedule the closed door session for another date and time in order to provide time for the advertisement to be placed.

Mr. Robertson felt the bid protest hearing was a waste of two hours. Mr. Pires requested there not be discussion on this matter. Mr. Robertson referenced a memo Mr. Pires sent to each Supervisor on November 23<sup>rd</sup> reflecting the bidder did not satisfactorily meet the bid requirements. Mr. Pires confirmed Mr. Adams included a copy of the memo in the December agenda package.

Mr. Adams felt whether or not the bid protest was a waste of time, depended on which side everyone was sitting on and confirmed the memo was provided to the Board by the Management Company as a part of the bid tabulation and recommendation to award. He noted the Board's point was made in the recommendation for award and at the protest hearing. He felt if they chose to go through the exercise, they had the right to do so and the District had the obligation to honor their request.

Mr. Adams reported the contracts were executed based on the Board's confirmation of award at the last meeting and TruGreen was now performing under contract.

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS****Approval of Minutes**

- **November 28, 2007 Joint Board Meeting**

Mr. Adams requested changes to the November 28, 2007 minutes.

Mr. Olson noted on Page 1, Mr. Robertson should be identified as Chairman and he should be identified as Vice Chairman. Mr. Adams clarified when the meeting started he was still Chairman.

Mr. Pires noted on Page 1, "Mr. Carlo Zampogna" should be added as District Co-Counsel under the list of attendees.

Mr. Olson noted on Page 6, "Fiddler's Creek C.D.D. #1 Items" should be "Fiddler's Creek C.D.D. #1" Items".

Mr. Pires noted on the bottom of Page 5, "Occupation License" should be "Occupational License" and the motion boxes at the top of Page 6 should reflect the addition of the word "Joint" before "Meeting Minutes". He questioned whether "Polkow Construction" was spelled correctly. Ms. Crismond verified the correct spelling as "Polkow Construction".

**On MOTION by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. DiNardo with all in favor the minutes of the November 28, 2007 joint Board meeting were approved as amended.**

**• December 19, 2007 Joint Board Meeting**

Mr. Adams requested changes to the December 19, 2007 minutes:

Ms. Scott noted on the bottom of Page 1 "Scoot" should be "Scott".

Mr. Pires note on in the fifth line down on Page 2, the words "acknowledge a withdrawal of the" should be added after "make a determination".

Ms. Matire note on the bottom of Page 2, "Via Mar" should be "Aviamar".

Mr. Pires noted he had changes pertaining to Fiddler's Creek CDD #1, which will be brought up at their meeting. Mr. Adams requested Mr. Pires changes as the minutes were going to be approved by both Boards. Mr. Pires addressed the following corrections:

- On Page 11, under the eleventh order of business, the word "later" should be "earlier".
- In the last paragraph on Page 12 and the fourth paragraph on Page 13, "Polcal Construction" should be "Polkow Construction".
- In the fourth paragraph on the top of Page 16, the sentence "Mr. Jenkins acknowledged that he recognized a copy of the check #2057 submitted by Xscape" should be changed to "Mr. Jenkins stated that he recognized a copy of the check #2057 he asserted was submitted by Xscape". In the following paragraph, "occupation license" should be "occupational license".

- In the third paragraph from the top of Page 17, the words “He asserted that” should be inserted before the word “All”. In the next sentence, the word “reiterated” should be changed to “asserted”.
- In the first paragraph on Page 19, the word “thought” should be “through”.

Mr. Adams noted it was important for the minutes to read correctly. Mr. Pires thanked the Board for their indulgence.

Mr. Robertson requested line numbers be added to the minutes.

Ms. Matire commented on page 11 under the tenth order of business, the adjournment time for Fiddler’s Creek CDD #2 should reflect 10:55 a.m. instead of 2:55 p.m.

**On MOTION by Ms. DiNardo and seconded by Ms. Matire with all in favor the minutes of the December 19, 2007 joint Board meeting were approved as amended.**

• **November 28, 2007 Landowners Meeting**

Mr. Adams noted the landowners meeting minutes were not included in the agenda package and will be carried over to the next agenda.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

There not being any, the next item followed.

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Staff Reports**

**a) Attorney**

Mr. Pires reported he will work with the Management Company on the advertising for the Notice of Rulemaking for the competitive selection process for services, as discussed at the bid protest hearing.

Mr. Adams recommended this be done concurrently with CDD #1 based on the current legislation changes. Mr. Pires noted the legislature in the last session, gave better latitude to CDD’s as to the competitive selection process for services

**b) Engineer**

Mr. Cole presented draw #24 to the Board for approximately \$243,000 related to Phase 5, Unit 2 on the eastern side of the project consisting of road work, landscaping and the fountain work at the entrance to Aviamar. He noted the draw including landscaping plants and lake bank sodding. Conversation ensued regarding details of the draw.

Ms. Scott questioned the bond series the draw was going to be affecting. Mr. Cole verified the draw was for the 2005 Bond, which currently had a balance of \$13.7 million.

**b) Manager**

**1. Unaudited Financials as of December 31, 2007**

Mr. Adams confirmed receipt of the majority of the on-roll assessment levy during the month of December, which was added to the revenue. He noted expenses were in line for the year and currently under the projected budget.

**2. Annual Continuing Disclosure Report**

Mr. Adams reported the District pays the Management Company to provide an Annual Disclosure Report, which he provided for the Board's information. He explained this was a requirement of the Trust Indenture for each revenue bond issue and at a minimum, the Management Company was required to provide an annual Disclosure Report but in some cases, depending on the age of the revenue bond, a quarterly report was provided. Mr. Adams noted this was a standard report filed with a number of bond companies for public informational purposes noting the activity of the development of the District, sales or transfers of property to other parties. He also noted it points out defaults or significant tax certificate sales having to be sold in regards to collecting the annual assessments paying off the debt service. In the case of this District, Mr. Adams pointed out there were no red flags on the items required to be reported on.

Mr. Robertson questioned whether the Auditor reviewed this report as part of his audit. Mr. Adams confirmed the Auditor reviews these reports for their external audits.

Mr. Olson questioned whether any principle reductions were made to the bonds based on the schedules. Mr. Adams confirmed there were some slight principle reductions through the District making their annual payments; however they were not significant, particularly on the 2005 bonds. He compared it to a mortgage and noted this was the reason why it did not make sense to do a refinancing as the District could not refinance for at least eight years. However, he felt after the eight years, the District could determine whether it made sense to consider doing a refinancing and staff will be looking at the Debt Service Funds on an annual basis to make sure this was feasible. However, since Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 was fairly new as the earliest issue was completed in 2003, Mr. Adams did not feel the District was in a position to refinance as they

will incur a 2% penalty. He recalled Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 doing a refinancing a couple a years ago on the 1999 bond issue.

Ms. Scott noted the amortization schedules do not show any principle reductions. Mr. Adams verified the amortization schedules in the budget show the principle reductions but not on the 2005 bond issue. Ms. Scott confirmed she did not see any principle reductions in the 2003 bond issue.

After reviewing the financials, Mr. Adams rectified his earlier statement by noting the payments were interest only on the older bond with no principle reductions. He noted the first principle reduction was on the A-1 schedule but the A-2 schedule on Page 11 should have gone back to 2003 as the Accountant was showing November 1, 2007 going forward. He explained the District was making two payments a year; one in November, which was interest only while the May payment was principle and interest, taking into consideration the assessments being paid through the property tax bill, assuming the District received the bulk of the assessments.

Mr. Adams confirmed there were principle reductions on the 2003 A-2 schedule but the only time those reductions were not being made was during a capital interest period, which was generally defined as a 24 to 36 month period. After this period of time, Mr. Adams explained the District will start a routine amortization repayment to include principle and interest being generated each year. He felt in this case, the Disclosure Report was not showing prior history but a current and progressive schedule.

Ms. Scott questioned whether Mr. Adams was saying there was no principle on the A-1 schedule. Mr. Adams clarified the A-1 schedule did not show a principle payment due until 2017, however the A-2 schedule showed a principle payment due in 2007. He admitted the schedule started in 2007, when in fact it should have started in 2003.

Ms. Scott commented the 2003 B bonds also showed no principle. Mr. Adams acknowledged this was a different structure than what he was accustomed to in other CDD's and felt Bank of America had been creative in their structures to get as much savings as they can for the property owners as this was not normally seen in the industry.

Ms. Scott questioned where the eight acres of commercial was located. Mr. Adams confirmed there was a section of commercial by the soon to be north gate. Ms. Scott questioned if the current plan was for the road to go straight through and not hug the curb. Mr. Cole confirmed this was the case.

**c) Operations Manager**

Mr. Adams requested Ms. Crismond update the Board on her recent tour with the contractor. Ms. Crismond confirmed she took the contractor on a tour through the entire property along with Mr. Bob Eckley (phonetic?) and with Mr. Albeit and Mr. Jim Vajen (phonetic?).

Ms. Crismond reported the pressure cleaning for Sandpiper commenced on Friday and should be completed this week.

Mr. Robertson requested future written reports from Ms. Crismond, which he felt was an effective way of communication.

Ms. Scott questioned the change to the signage for CDD #1. Mr. Adams confirmed CDD #1 did a complete sign review and recognized some stop signs needed to be changed due to their age and not meeting reflectivity requirements. He noted some sign posts were repaired by changing the inserts. The majority of the sign work was to make the street signs more readable. Mr. Robertson noted the signs for side streets pointed in as opposed to pointing out and there were a number of intersections where the sign could not be read due to the vegetation.

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Supervisors Requests and Audience Comments**

**Supervisor Comments**

Mr. Robertson suggested the Board members form committees. Mr. Robertson offered to serve as Chairman of the Financial Committee to work with Mr. Adams and to serve on the Safety and Security Access Control Subcommittee. Mr. Adams suggested each Board member take on certain responsibilities as a liaison instead of forming committees.

Mr. Pires advised a Board member serving as liaison on a particular item was different than establishing a committee. He noted if a committee was formed and Mr. Pires and Mr. Robertson wished to discuss financial business, they would have to advertise a meeting to be open to the public and have minutes taken.

Mr. Robertson clarified he wanted the Board members to be liaisons of a particular area of interest and requested Board member designations for liaisons for landscape and water management to work directly with the Operations Manager. Mr. Pires suggested adding this item to the next agenda for discussion and noted the Board member would not have any decision making authority. Mr. Robertson clarified the purpose was not for micromanaging as the District

Manager and his staff were currently working on those issues. He wanted each Board member to serve as liaisons for fact finding and information transfer purposes.

Mr. Robertson suggested a new approach with regards to financial management. Mr. Robertson expressed displeasure with the current operation of the Board approving invoices after they are posted as the Board found errors which were too late to change. Mr. Robertson requested the District Manager and his staff verifies the validity of the transactions and certifies them to the Board for approval. He defined the general principle of financial management as being 20% of the financial transactions and 80% of the dollar value. He permitted the District Manager and staff to determine the threshold, whether this be invoices of \$100 or greater or \$1,000 or greater.

Mr. Adams confirmed he reviews and approves the check run before checks are cut; however, from a posting perspective, he relies on his staff to chart the accounts. He acknowledged he discovered some errors before the checks were processed but in those cases, he requested the account detail to verify everything was posted correctly. Mr. Robertson felt the accounting staff should perform 90% of the work and Mr. Adams should only perform 5% of the work. Mr. Adams confirmed this was the case but due to the human element, he admitted some mistakes may have been made. Mr. Robertson noted mistakes were made in the larger dollar value transactions. Mr. Adams acknowledged he looks at every check for every district to ensure the expenses are valid before the checks are cut. Mr. Robertson offered to speak to Mr. Adams about this matter further in order to legitimize the process.

Mr. Robertson suggested the liaison for the safety and security be the Chief Security Officer (CSO) for the Foundation who can provide reports for the GPS device attached to the vehicle driven by the road patrol officers showing where the location of the vehicle on each shift. He felt this was a valuable tool as most of the acreage in Fiddler's Creek was located in CDD #2 and requested the CSO provide the report to the Board on a regular basis. Mr. Robertson noted the District was getting safety and security service from the Foundation CSO and expressed concern over the amount of miles being used on the vehicle, which was currently at 83,000 miles. He projected by the end of the fiscal year, 150,000 miles will be incurred on the vehicle and noted plans were being made to lease a second vehicle in September. Mr. Adams noted this was why the Board took out a 24 month lease on the current vehicle. Mr. Robertson suggested

the same lease be undertaken as well as going through the procedure of selling the 2004 vehicle as an excess asset.

Mr. Pires advised the GPS reports were a public record but there may be exemptions from the public obtaining access to them since they deal with security issues and access control, which was provided by the legislature as an exemption after September 11, 2001. He suggested the reports not be shared with the public to the extent the Board reviews them as the agency Governing Board, at which point they will be exempt under the Public Records Law.

Mr. Robertson noted there was no reason why the records could not be shared with the public as the report showed the Supervisors how the CSO was providing for the residents safety. Mr. Pires clarified the Board members can review them but not share them with the public. Mr. Adams concurred with the records qualifying as exempt but noted they were a post-activity report showing where the vehicle had been. However, from a practical standpoint, Mr. Adams noted he was not sure how they undermined the security program due to the report showing where the vehicle has been and not identifying a set routine. Mr. Robertson clarified the point of the exercise was to identify whether or not the District received benefit from the services provided by the CSO on behalf of the Foundation. Mr. Pires confirmed he had no problem with the Board members reviewing the report but expressed concern over the Board members distributing them to members of the public.

Mr. Olson requested the Board members receive copies of the District insurance policies.

Mr. Adams offered to provide a copy as one had not been provided in the past and noted the standard policy included the following:

- General Liability coverage with a limit of \$2 million and provisions for a contractor driving their private vehicle to and from the CDD
- Public Officers Liability covering the Board members on the way they conduct business and provisions for insurable property listed on the asset list.
- The contractor was required to provide a Certificate of Insurance covering \$1 million of General Liability, \$300,000 coverage for vehicles, meeting the state statute on Workers Compensation coverage, identifying the Board members of Fiddlers Creek CDD 2 as an additional insurer and 30 day notice of cancellation. Beyond the Certificate of Insurance, each contract had a Hold Harmless and Indemnification Clause.

As a governmental entity in the State of Florida, Mr. Adams confirmed the Board members have sovereign immunity protections, limiting certain claims to \$200,000 or \$250,000 and anything beyond would have to be approved by a separate bill approved by the Legislature. Mr. Pires confirmed bills of this nature were rarely approved, however if they approved a bill, they will make the CDD pay for it.

Mr. Pires confirmed his company carries similar coverage as an independent contractor providing legal advice to the District.

Mr. Adams confirmed in the Management Agreement, Wrathell, Hart, Hunt & Associates are required to provide their insurance policy as a consultant, which was through Lloyds of London. He offered to provide copies of the District's current insurance policy to the Board.

Ms. Scott requested an update on the security installation at Championship Drive. Mr. Adams confirmed staff was still in the testing process and requested an update from Mr. Charbonneau based on discussions he had with the contractor this morning.

Mr. Charbonneau reported he spoke to Mr. Lee Herman from TEM and Mr. Bill Frawley, IT Manager who informed him Gulf Bay concluded their testing. He confirmed TEM should complete their testing today and feels they may need to be an upgrade of the desktop machine at the north gate because it was seven years old and the print process from the remote was sluggish. He provided copies of e-mails from TEM and Gulf Bay to Mr. Adams and Mr. Albeit and hoped to have the matter concluded today. Upon completion of their testing, Mr. Charbonneau noted they will make last minute adjustments and schedule a date for installation.

Mr. Robertson commented the call procedures for the north and main gates will affect the safety and security for CDD #2 as their Road Patrol Officer was diverted more frequently from his patrols to investigate situations at the north and main gates.

Ms. Scott suggested the Board look at double coverage of the 951 Gate during the months of January, February and March to deal with issues arising from backups created at Championship Drive as she recalled some instances at the gate where the guards could not handle issues.

Mr. Robertson felt the Board was getting what they paid for as he recalled an emergency situation occurring on Monday, which the Safety Officer took care of at the Gator Grill when a resident had a medical emergency. Mr. Charbonneau arrived on the scene with his staff within one minute of the emergency call.

Audience Comments

Mr. Albeit questioned when the audited financial statements will be distributed. Mr. Adams confirmed the field work was completed, however the Auditor requested additional information in preparation for the drafts. He expected the Auditor to provide drafts this month and the final audit in February. Mr. Albeit questioned whether the audit will be provided for both CDD #1 and CDD #2. Mr. Adams confirmed this was the case.

A resident noted the website has a detailed schedule for the security staff as well as a list of phone numbers, which has the following disclaimer: *"THIS SHOULD NOT BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC"*. Mr. Adams confirmed the phone list was inadvertently posted and subsequently pulled from the website. Mr. Albeit noted on the first or second page of the post orders, there was a list of emergency numbers.

The resident also questioned the proper procedure in terms of reporting problems with broken sprinklers or street lights. Mr. Adams confirmed street light issues should be reported to the gatehouse at the main gate. Mr. Robertson noted the procedure in past years was for street light problems or broken sprinkler heads to be reported to the Safety and Security Officers at the gatehouse. They in turn will report any sprinkler issues to the landscaper or street light issues to Ms. Crismond who will make arrangements for the street lights to be repaired.

Mr. Charbonneau commented once a week the Security Patrol Officer patrols through the entire community, all villages, the CDD areas, club and spa and makes a report. He provides the report to Mr. Albeit and Cardinal Management. Cardinal Management will contact Bentley Electric, the subcontractor who will make the repairs within five to seven days, unless they have to repair the actual components in the light pole, which could take 10 to 14 days or longer. He noted as far as the irrigation, as discussed at the village meeting, the resident can call the central phone number.

The resident reported an entrance light on the right side of the gate has been out for a couple of weeks. Also, two out of eight lights in the palm trees behind the fountain were working. Mr. Albeit requested the resident contact the gatehouse or Cardinal Management.

A resident who lives in CDD #1 commented last Thursday evening, the sprinklers turned on before 5 p.m. and were still on at 9 p.m. He then contacted the guardhouse who in turn, tried to contact Mr. Albeit and Cardinal Management but received no response. The resident noted he finally reached Cardinal Management who tried to assist them but could not reach anyone.

When they went to bed, the sprinklers were still running. He noted at this point, the sprinklers were running for six to seven hours and water was gushing down the road. He could not understand how anyone could miss this and not do anything about it. He felt someone needed to be a contact person for the guard to call in the event of an emergency.

Mr. Albeit confirmed the sprinkling time was from 4:00 p.m. until Midnight on Thursdays in certain villages and from Midnight to 8 a.m. for other villages. The resident expressed frustration over the sprinklers being on for eight hours straight. Mr. Albeit offered to check the zones as there appeared to be a timing issue.

The resident reported the landscapers were fixing sprinkler heads one day prior to this event and feels they created the problem. Mr. Albeit offered to bring this to the landscapers' attention.

Mr. Adams offered to post the declaration page on the website as the entire insurance policy was 80 pages long. Mr. Olson agreed with the posting of the declaration page on the website.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

There being no further business,

**On MOTION by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. Scott with all in favor the meeting was adjourned at 10:10 p.m.**

  
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

  
Chairman/Vice Chairman