FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
&
FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2

JOINT
REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

April 27, 2011

Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 & Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2

6131 Lyons Road, Suite 100•Coconut Creek, Florida 33073
Phone: (954) 426-2105•Fax: (954) 426-2147•Toll-free: (877) 276-0889

April 21, 2011

Boards of Supervisors
Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 & Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2

Dear Board Members:

The Boards of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 & Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2 will hold a Joint Regular Meeting on **Wednesday, April 27, 2011** at **8:00 a.m.,** at the **Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34114**. The agenda is as follows:

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

2. Discussion: Corrections/Additions to the Agenda
   - Approval of April 27, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Agenda, *CDD#2*
   - Approval of April 27, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Agenda, *CDD#1*

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #2 ITEMS

3. Update: Bankruptcy Proceedings - Robert DeMarco

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #1 ITEMS

4. Update: Bankruptcy Proceedings - Aleida Martinez Molina

5. Presentation of Audited Financial Report for Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010, Prepared by Grau & Associates

6. Consideration of **Resolution 2011-3,** Accepting the Audited Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010

JOINT MEETING ITEMS

7. Developer's Report/Update

8. Engineer's Report
   - Consideration of Transferring Certain Performance Bonds *(materials to be provided at the meeting)*

9. Approval of **March 23, 2011** Joint Regular Meeting Minutes

10. Other Business

11. Staff Reports
    a. Attorney
    b. Manager
       i. **NEXT MEETING DATE: May 25, 2011 at 8:00 A.M.**
    c. Operations Manager

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #2 ITEMS

12. Approval of **February 14, 2011** Continued Meeting Minutes

13. Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2011

14. Audience Comments/Supervisors' Requests

15. Adjournment: **Fiddler's Creek CDD #2**

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #1 ITEMS

16. Approval of **March 29, 2011** Continued Meeting Minutes

17. Unaudited Financial Statements as of March 31, 2011

18. Audience Comments/Supervisors' Requests

19. Adjournment: **Fiddler's Creek CDD #1**

The fifth and sixth orders of business deal with the Audited Financial Report, for CDD #1, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, prepared by Grau & Associates, as well as the resolution accepting this report for the District's records. These items will be discussed at the meeting.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at 239-464-7114.

Sincerely,

Chesley E. Adams, Jr.
District Manager

CA:dg

FOR BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF TO ATTEND BY TELEPHONE:

CALL IN NUMBER: 1-888-354-0094

CONFERENCE ID: 8593810

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Grau & Associates
certified public accountants

2700 North Military Trail • Suite 350
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561)994-9299 • (800)299-4728
Fax (561)994-5823
www.graucpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Supervisors
Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1
Collier County, Florida

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, Collier County, Florida (the "District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the District as of September 30, 2010, and the respective changes in financial position, thereof for the fiscal year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 to the financial statements, the District's financial conditions are deteriorating. The Developer did not pay current and prior year assessments of approximately $4 million. Consequently there were insufficient funds to pay the principal and interest on the Series 2002 Bonds and the Series 2005 Bonds due on or before May 1, 2010. As a result, events of default on the Series 2002 Bonds and Series 2005 Bonds have occurred and are continuing. During the year the bondholders called for redemption $5.5 million principal on the Series 2005 Bonds. Also, in February 2010, the Developer of the District filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of this Chapter 11 filing, remedial action against the Developer is stayed. No assurance can be given as to the amount or timing of payments, if any to the bondholders for the Series 2002 and Series 2005 Bonds. The District is economically dependent on the Developer.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 21, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Brau & Associates

March 21, 2011

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our discussion and analysis of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, Collier County, Florida ("District") provides a narrative overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Please read it in conjunction with the District's Independent Auditor's Report, basic financial statements, accompanying notes and supplementary information to the basic financial statements.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The assets of the District exceeded its liabilities at the close of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 resulting in a net asset balance of $5,419.014.

- The change in the District's total net assets in comparison with the prior year was $1,428,117, an increase. The key components of the District's net assets and change in net assets are reflected in the table in the government-wide financial analysis section.

- At September 30, 2010, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of $2,302,278, a decrease of ($8,534,255) in comparison with the prior fiscal year. $2,709,222 of the total fund balance is reserved for debt service, capital projects, and other items and the remainder is unreserved fund balance which is available for spending at the District's discretion.

OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as the introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net assets presents information on all the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the government's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

The government-wide financial statements include all governmental activities that are principally supported by special assessments and Developer revenues. The District does not have any business-type activities. The governmental activities of the District include the general government (management) and maintenance functions.

Fund Financial Statements

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District has one fund category: governmental funds.

OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Governmental Funds

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a District's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the District's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The District maintains seven individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, debt service Series 1999, 2002, 2005 and 2006 funds and capital projects Series 2005 funds. All of the funds are considered to be major funds.

The District adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with the budget.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of an entity's financial position. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

Key components of the District's net assets are reflected in the following table:

NET ASSETS
SEPTEMBER 30,

	2010	2009
Assets, excluding capital assets	$ 9,271,816	$ 13,510,767
Capital assets, net of depreciation	37,973,767	38,674,917
Total assets	47,245,583	52,185,684
Due to primary government		
Liabilities, excluding long-term liabilities	2,414,016	1,276,632
Long-term liabilities	39,412,553	46,918,155
Total liabilities	41,826,569	48,194,787
Net Assets		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	170,679	196,193
Restricted for debt service	4,765,984	3,242,032
Unrestricted	482,351	552,672
Total net assets	$ 5,419,014	$ 3,990,897

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

The District's net assets reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. land, land improvements, and infrastructure); less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. These assets are used to provide services to residents; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

The restricted portion of the District's net assets represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The remaining balance of unrestricted net assets may be used to meet the District's other obligations.

The District's net assets increased during the most recent fiscal year. The increase is primarily a result of an increase in assessment revenue in the current year. However the current year assessments include approximately $2.5 million assessments due from Developer, which have not been collected as of the date of the report.

Key elements of the change in net assets are reflected in the following table:

CHANGES IN NET ASSETS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

	2010	2009
Revenues:		
Program revenues		
Charges for services	$ 7,305,062	$ 6,688,507
Operating grants and contributions	112	22,276
Capital grants and contributions	4,638	44,923
General revenues		
Investment income	4,585	4,517
Total revenues	7,314,397	6,760,223
Expenses:		
General government	683,392	363,995
Maintenance and operations	2,771,061	2,617,610
Interest	2,431,827	2,987,453
Total expenses	5,886,280	5,969,058
Change in net assets	1,428,117	791,165
Net assets beginning	3,990,897	3,199,732
Net assets ending	$ 5,419,014	$ 3,990,897

As noted above and in the statement of activities, the cost of all governmental activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was $5,886,280. The majority of the costs of the District's activities were paid by program revenues. Program revenues are comprised primarily of assessments in the current year, of which approximately $2.5 million assessments are due from the Develop; while in the prior year, approximately $1.5 million assessments were due from the Developer.

GENERAL BUDGETING HIGHLIGHTS

An operating budget was adopted and maintained by the governing board for the District pursuant to the requirements of Florida Statutes. The budget is adopted using the same basis of accounting that is used in preparation of the fund financial statements. The legal level of budgetary control, the level at which expenditures may not exceed budget, is in the aggregate. Any budget amendments that increase the aggregate budgeted appropriations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors. The general fund budget for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was amended to decrease revenues by ($245,572), increase appropriations by $322,457, and increase other financing sources (uses) by $540,336. The decrease in revenues is primarily the result of less than anticipated assessments being budgeted and the increase in appropriations is primarily due to legal costs exceeding anticipated amounts, which were incurred in association with the default and foreclosure issues. Actual general fund expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

At September 30, 2010, the District had $48,590,869 invested in land, land improvements, and infrastructure. In the government-wide financial statements depreciation of $10,617,102 has been taken, which resulted in a net book value of $37,973,767. More detailed information about the District's capital assets is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

Capital Debt

At September 30, 2010, the District had $39,768,711 in Bonds and loans outstanding for its governmental activities. More detailed information about the District's capital debt is presented in the notes of the financial statements.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND OTHER EVENTS

As discussed in the notes to the basic financial statements, there are significant delinquent fiscal year 2009 and 2010 assessments that have not been collected due to the Developer not paying its assessments. Consequently, the District did not have sufficient funds to make the May 2010 Series 2002 and Series 2005 debt service payments. As a result, events of default on the Series 2002 Bonds and Series 2005 Bonds have occurred and are continuing. During the year, the bondholders called for redemption of $5.5 million in principal on the Series 2005 Bonds. Also, in February 2010, the Developer of the District filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of this Chapter 11 filing, remedial action against the Developer is stayed. The District is economically dependent on the Developer. No adjustment has been made to the financial statements as the specific impact on the District cannot be determined at this time.

Contacting the District's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, land owners, customers, investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the financial resources it manages and the stewardship of the facilities it maintains. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1's Finance Department at 6131 Lyons Road, Suite 100, Coconut Creek, Florida, 33073.

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	Governmental Activities
ASSETS	
Cash	$ 384,793
Investments	70,101
Accounts receivable	507,376
Due from Developer	4,154,101
Deposits	5,125
Due from other government	3,366
Restricted assets:	
Temporarily restricted	
Cash	178,475
Investments	2,986,691
Deferred charges	981,788
Capital assets:	
Nondepreciable	25,717,655
Depreciable, net	12,256,112
Total assets	47,245,583
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	163,019
Accrued interest payable	988,725
Contracts payable	47,478
Due to Bondholder:	
Principal	245,000
Interest	969,794
Non-current liabilities:	
Due within one year	1,920,249
Due in more than one year	37,492,304
Total liabilities	41,826,569
NET ASSETS	
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	170,679
Restricted for debt service	4,765,984
Unrestricted	482,351
Total net assets	$ 5,419,014

See notes to the financial statements

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

		Program Revenues			Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Assets
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities
Primary government:					
Governmental activities:					
General government	$ 683,392	$ 683,392	$ -	$ -	$ -
Maintenance and operations	2,771,061	1,794,269	-	4,638	(972,154)
Interest on long-term debt	2,431,827	4,827,401	112	-	2,395,686
Total governmental activities	5,886,280	7,305,062	112	4,638	1,423,532
General revenues:					
Unrestricted investment earnings					4,585
Total general revenues					4,585
Change in net assets					1,428,117
Net assets - beginning					3,990,897
Net assets - ending					$ 5,419,014

See notes to the financial statements

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	Major Funds						
	General	Debt Service Series 1999	Debt Service Series 2002	Debt Service Series 2005	Debt Service Series 2006	Capital Projects Series 2005	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS							
Cash	$ 563,268	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 563,268
Investments	70,101	1,182,144	386,183	43,953	699,256	675,155	3,056,792
Due from other funds	99,512	15	-	-	-	-	99,527
Due from Developer	128,609	-	1,958,676	2,066,816	-	-	4,154,101
Accounts receivable	265,764	98,427	-	-	143,185	-	507,376
Deposits	5,125	-	-	-	-	-	5,125
Due from other governments	3,366	-	-	-	-	-	3,366
Total assets	$ 1,135,745	$ 1,280,586	$ 2,344,859	$ 2,110,769	$ 842,441	$ 675,155	$ 8,389,555
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	$ 163,019	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 163,019
Contracts payable	-	-	-	-	-	47,478	47,478
Due to other funds	15	-	-	99,512	-	-	99,527
Debt service - current portion	-	-	1,214,794	-	-	-	1,214,794
Deferred revenue	311,885	88,388	1,958,676	2,066,816	136,694	-	4,562,459
Total liabilities	474,919	88,388	3,173,470	2,166,328	136,694	47,478	6,087,277
Fund balances:							
Reserved for:							
Debt service	178,475	1,192,198	-	-	705,747	-	2,076,420
Capital projects	-	-	-	-	-	627,677	627,677
Other	5,125	-	-	-	-	-	5,125
Unreserved, designated for:							
Subsequent year's budget	318,525	-	-	-	-	-	318,525
Unreserved, reported in:							
General fund	158,701	-			-	-	158,701
Debt service fund	-	-	(828,611)	(55,559)	-	-	(884,170)
Total fund balances	660,826	1,192,198	(828,611)	(55,559)	705,747	627,677	2,302,278
Total liabilities and fund balances	$ 1,135,745	$ 1,280,586	$ 2,344,859	$ 2,110,769	$ 842,441	$ 675,155	$ 8,389,555

See notes to the financial statements

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET-GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
TO THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Fund balance - governmental funds		$ 2,302,278
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported as assets in the governmental funds. The statement of net assets includes those capital assets, net of any accumulated depreciation, in the net assets of the government as a whole.		
Cost of capital assets	48,590,869	
Accumulated depreciation	(10,617,102)	37,973,767
Assets that are not available to pay for current-period expenditures are deferred in the fund statements.		4,562,459
Bond issue costs are not financial resources and, therefore are not reported as assets in the governmental funds. The statements of net assets includes these costs, net of amortization.		
Bond issue costs	1,841,764	
Accumulated amortization	(859,976)	981,788
Liabilities not due and payable from current available resources are not reported as liabilities in the governmental fund statements. All liabilities, both current and long-term, are reported in the government-wide financial statements.		
Accrued interest payable	(988,725)	
Deferred amount on refunding	111,158	
Bonds payable	(39,512,285)	
Leases payable	(11,426)	(40,401,278)
Net assets of governmental activities		$ 5,419,014

See notes to the financial statements

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	Major Funds						
	General	Debt Service Series 1999	Debt Service Series 2002	Debt Service Series 2005	Debt Service Series 2006	Capital Projects Series 2005	Total Governmental Funds
REVENUES							
Assessments	$ 2,471,119	$ 1,099,933	$ -	$ -	$ 663,807	$ -	$ 4,234,859
Interest	4,585	-	98	14	-	4,638	9,335
Miscellaneous income	6,542	-	-	-	-	-	6,542
Total revenues	2,482,246	1,099,933	98	14	663,807	4,638	4,250,736
EXPENDITURES							
Current:							
General government	640,324	25,263	-	-	17,805	-	683,392
Maintenance and operations	1,746,163	-	-	-	-	-	1,746,163
Debt Service:							
Principal	594,985	700,000	245,000	5,500,000	500,000	-	7,539,985
Interest	42,528	514,122	969,794	771,950	209,165	-	2,507,559
Capital outlay	15,856	-	-	-	-	307,892	323,748
Total expenditures	3,039,856	1,239,385	1,214,794	6,271,950	726,970	307,892	12,800,847
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(557,610)	(139,452)	(1,214,696)	(6,271,936)	(63,163)	(303,254)	(8,550,111)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)							
Transfers in	342,240	-	-	6,216,295	-	-	6,558,535
Transfers out	-	-	(112,926)	-	-	(6,445,609)	(6,558,535)
Capital leases	15,856	-	-	-	-	-	15,856
Total other financing sources (uses)	358,096	-	(112,926)	6,216,295	-	(6,445,609)	15,856
Net change in fund balances	(199,514)	(139,452)	(1,327,622)	(55,641)	(63,163)	(6,748,863)	(8,534,255)
Fund balances - beginning	860,340	1,331,650	499,011	82	768,910	7,376,540	10,836,533
Fund balances - ending	$ 660,826	$ 1,192,198	$ (828,611)	$ (55,559)	$ 705,747	$ 627,677	$ 2,302,278

See notes to the financial statements

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	$ (8,534,255)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures, however, the cost of capital assets is eliminated in the statement of activities and capitalized in the statement of net assets.	323,748
Depreciation on capital assets is not recognized in the governmental fund statement but is reported as an expense in the statement of activities.	(1,024,898)
Governmental funds report bond and capital lease proceeds when debt is first issued, whereas these proceeds are eliminated in the statement of activities and recognized as long-term liabilities in the statement of net assets.	(15,856)
Revenues that do not provide current available resources are deferred in the fund statements but are recognized as revenues in the statement of activities.	3,063,661
Repayment of long-term liabilities are reported as expenditures in the governmental fund statement but such repayments reduce liabilities in the statement of net assets and are eliminated in the statement of activities.	7,539,985
Governmental funds report the effect of issuance of costs, premiums, discounts and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities.	
Amortization of bond issuance costs	(81,103)
Amortization of deferred amount on refunding	(18,527)
The change in accrued interest on long-term liabilities between the current and prior fiscal year is recorded in the statement of activities but not in the fund financial statements.	175,362
Change in net assets of governmental activities	$ 1,428,117

See notes to the financial statements

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF ORGANIZATION AND REPORTING ENTITY

Reporting Entity
Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, ("District") was created on August 13, 1996 under the Uniform Community Development District Act of 1980, Chapter 190, Florida Statutes, as amended (the "Act") and Rule 42X 1.001-1.003 adopted by Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission and amended on September 16, 2003, designated as Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1. The Act provides among other things, the power to manage basic services for community development, power to borrow money and issue bonds, and to levy and assess non-ad valorem assessments for the financing and delivery of capital infrastructure.

The District was established for the purposes of financing and managing the acquisition, construction, maintenance and operation of a portion of the infrastructure necessary for community development within the District.

The District is located in the metropolitan Naples area of Collier County, Florida, within the Fiddler's Creek Development of Regional Impact ("DRI"), a master planned community that is comprised of the District and Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 2. The District consists of approximately 1,390 acres within the Fiddler's Creek DRI. In 2003, the boundaries of the District were modified but the acreage, 1,390 acres, remained the same. The District is being developed by 951 Land Holdings, Ltd., a Florida limited partnership and previously known as 951 Land Holding Joint Venture ("Developer"). The Developer owns significant portions of the land; therefore, the District is economically dependent on the Developer. During the current year the Developer filed for bankruptcy protection (see Note 8 for details).

The District is governed by the Board of Supervisors ("Board"), which is composed of five members. The Supervisors are elected by qualified electors living within the District. The Board of Supervisors of the District exercise all powers granted to the District pursuant to Chapter 190, Florida Statutes.

The Board has the responsibility for:
1. Assessing and levying assessments.
2. Approving budgets.
3. Exercising control over facilities and properties.
4. Controlling the use of funds generated by the District.
5. Approving the hiring and firing of key personnel.
6. Financing improvements.

The financial statements were prepared in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement 14, and Statement 39, an amendment of GASB Statement 14. Under the provisions of those standards, the financial reporting entity consists of the primary government, organizations for which the District Board of Supervisors is considered to be financially accountable, and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the District are such that, if excluded, the financial statements of the District would be considered incomplete or misleading. There are no entities considered to be component units of the District; therefore, the financial statements include only the operations of the District.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements
The basic financial statements include both government-wide and fund financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements (Continued)

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment (Operating-type special assessments for maintenance and debt service are treated as charges for services.) and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues.*

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement* focus and the *accrual basis of accounting.* Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Assessments are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are to be recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting.* Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

Assessments

Assessments are non-ad valorem assessments on all real property within the District. Assessments are levied each November 1 on property as of the previous January. The fiscal year for which annual assessments are levied begins on October 1 with discounts available for payments through February 28 and become delinquent on April 1. For debt service assessments, amounts collected as advance payments are used to prepay a portion of the Bonds outstanding. The District invoices the prime Developer for some of the debt service assessments. Otherwise, assessments are collected annually to provide funds for the debt service on the portion of the Bonds which are not paid with prepaid assessments.

Assessments and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Only the portion of assessments receivable due within the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual as revenue of the current period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund

The general fund is the general operating fund of the District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Debt Service Funds

The debt service funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources for the annual payment of principal and interest on long-term debt for the Series 1999, 2002, 2005 and 2006.

Capital Projects Funds

These funds account for the financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major infrastructure within the District related to the Series 2005 and 2006 capital project. The Series 2006 fund was closed in the prior year.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation (Continued)
As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets or Equity

Restricted Assets
These assets represent cash and investments set aside pursuant to bond and debt covenants.

Deposits and Investments
The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand and demand deposits.

The District has elected to proceed under the Alternative Investment Guidelines as set forth in Section 218.415 (17) Florida Statutes. The District may invest any surplus public funds in the following:

a) The Local Government Surplus Trust Funds, or any intergovernmental investment pool authorized pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act;
b) Securities and Exchange Commission registered money market funds with the highest credit quality rating from a nationally recognized rating agency;
c) Interest bearing time deposits or savings accounts in qualified public depositories;
d) Direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury.

Securities listed in paragraph c and d shall be invested to provide sufficient liquidity to pay obligations as they come due. In addition, surplus funds may be deposited into certificates of deposit which are insured and any unspent Bond proceeds are required to be held in investments as specified in the Bond Indenture.

The District records all interest revenue related to investment activities in the respective funds and reports investments at fair value.

Inventories and Prepaid Items
Inventories of governmental funds are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Capital Assets
Capital assets, which include property, plant and equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, sidewalks and similar items) are reported in the government activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than $5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets or Equity (Continued)

Capital Assets (Continued)
Property, plant and equipment of the District are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Assets	Years
Equipment	5 - 10
Infrastructure	20 - 40
Buildings and improvements	7 - 39

In the governmental fund financial statements, amounts incurred for the acquisition of capital assets are reported as fund expenditures. Depreciation expense is not reported in the governmental fund financial statements.

Deferred Charges
In prior years, in connection with the issuance of certain debt, the District incurred costs totaling $1,841,764. In the government-wide financial statements that amount has been capitalized and amortized over the estimated life of the Bonds. At September 30, 2010, the District reported accumulated amortization of $859,976.

Deferred Amount on Refunding
For current refundings and advance refundings resulting in defeasance of debt, the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt is deferred and amortized using the effective interest method over the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter. The deferred amount is offset against the new liability.

In connection with the refunding, the deferred amount on the refunding was $185,266 and is being amortized over the life of the Bonds. Accumulated amortization of the deferred amount is $74,108 at September 30, 2010.

Deferred Revenue
Governmental funds report deferred revenue in connection with receivables for revenues that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned.

Long-Term Obligations
In the government-wide financial statements long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the statement of net assets. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the Bonds using the straight-line method, which does not result in a material difference from the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of applicable premiums or discounts.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Fund Equity/Net Assets
In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designations of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fund Equity/Net Assets (Continued)
Net assets in the government-wide financial statements are categorized as invested in capital assets, net of related debt, restricted or unrestricted. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt represents net assets related to infrastructure and property, plant and equipment, net of any related debt. Restricted net assets represent the assets restricted by the District's Bond covenants.

Other Disclosures

Use of Estimates
The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 3 – BUDGETARY INFORMATION

The District is required to establish a budgetary system and an approved Annual Budget. Annual Budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for the general fund. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end.

The District follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements.

a) Each year the District Manager submits to the District Board a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following October 1.
b) Public hearings are conducted to obtain public comments.
c) Prior to October 1, the budget is legally adopted by the District Board.
d) All budget changes must be approved by the District Board.
e) The budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.
f) Unused appropriation for annually budgeted funds lapse at the end of the year.

NOTE 4 – DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Deposits
The District's cash balances were entirely covered by federal depository insurance or by a collateral pool pledged to the State Treasurer. Florida Statutes Chapter 280, "Florida Security for Public Deposits Act", requires all qualified depositories to deposit with the Treasurer or another banking institution eligible collateral equal to various percentages of the average daily balance for each month of all public deposits in excess of any applicable deposit insurance held. The percentage of eligible collateral (generally, U.S. Governmental and agency securities, state or local government debt, or corporate bonds) to public deposits is dependent upon the depository's financial history and its compliance with Chapter 280. In the event of a failure of a qualified public depository, the remaining public depositories would be responsible for covering any resulting losses.

Investments
The District's investments were held as follows at September 30, 2010:

	Fair Value	Credit Risk	Maturities
Money Market Mutual Funds - First American Government Obligations	$ 2,986,691	S&P AAAm	Weighted average of the fund portfolio: 42 days
Treasury Obligations Fund SS	70,101	S&P AAAm	Weighted average of the fund portfolio: 21 days
Total Investments	$ 3,056,792		

NOTE 4 – DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Investments (Continued)

Custodial risk – For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of the investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District has no formal policy for custodial risk. The money market mutual funds are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book entry form.

Credit risk – For investments, credit risk is generally the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Investment ratings by investment type are included in the preceding summary of investments.

Concentration risk – The District places no limit on the amount the District may invest in any one issuer.

Interest rate risk – The District does not have a formal policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

However, the Bond Indenture limits the type of investments held using unspent proceeds.

NOTE 5 – INTERFUND RECEIVABLES, PAYABLES AND TRANSFERS

Interfund receivables and payables at September 30, 2010 were as follows:

Fund	Receivable	Payable
General	$ 99,497	$ -
Debt service Series 1999	15	-
Debt service Series 2005	-	99,512
Total	$ 99,512	$ 99,512

The outstanding balances between funds result primarily from the time lag between the dates that transactions are recorded in the accounting system and payments between funds are made. In the case of the District, the balances between the general fund and the debt service fund relate to legal fees incurred with regard to the delinquent assessments, Bond call, and Developer bankruptcy paid from the general fund that should be paid from the remedial account.

Interfund transfers for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 were as follows:

Fund	Transfer In	Transfer out
General	$ 342,240	$ -
Debt service Series 2002	-	112,926
Debt service Series 2005	6,216,295	-
Capital projects Series 2005	-	6,445,609
Total	$ 6,558,535	$ 6,558,535

The transfer from the Series 2005 capital projects fund to the debt service fund was for the $5.5 million Bond redemption called by the bondholder and interest payments due. The other transfers are associated with legal fees.

NOTE 6 – CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance
Governmental activities				
Capital assets, not being depreciated				
Land and improvements	$ 23,293,924	$ -	$ -	$ 23,293,924
Construction in progress	2,099,983	323,748	-	2,423,731
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	25,393,907	323,748	-	25,717,655
Capital assets, being depreciated				
Building and improvements	7,695,968	-	-	7,695,968
Infrastructure	15,109,489	-	-	15,109,489
Equipment	67,757	-	-	67,757
Total capital assets, being depreciated	22,873,214	-	-	22,873,214
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Building and improvements	5,537,973	476,861	-	6,014,834
Infrastructure	4,020,297	539,940	-	4,560,237
Equipment	33,934	8,097	-	42,031
Total accumulated depreciation	9,592,204	1,024,898	-	10,617,102
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	13,281,010	(1,024,898)	-	12,256,112
Governmental activities capital assets	$ 38,674,917	$ (701,150)	$ -	$ 37,973,767

The total projected cost of infrastructure to the District was estimated at approximately $55,000,000. Four phases of major infrastructure construction were anticipated. Construction of Phases 1, 2, and 3 were substantially completed. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, approximately $307,892 construction cost was incurred for the remaining phases. The District reduced the scope of the project in the prior year and allowed the use of the excess monies in the 2005 Acquisition and Construction Account to redeem a portion of the outstanding 2005 Bonds. See note 6 for related Bonds redemption.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES

At September 30, 2010 the District has the following Bond issues outstanding.

Series 1999

In May 1999, the District issued $17,245,000 of Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 1999 consisting of $9,305,000 Term Bonds Series 1999A due on May 1, 2021 with a fixed interest rate of 5.875% and $7,940,000 Term Bonds Series 1999B due on May 1, 2021 with a fixed interest rate of 5.80%. The Bonds were issued to finance the acquisition and construction of certain improvements for the benefit of the District. Interest is to be paid semiannually on each May 1 and November 1. Principal is to be paid on May 1, commencing May 1, 2002.

The Series 1999 Bonds are subject to redemption at the option of the District, in whole or in part at any time on or after May 1, 2009 at a redemption price as set forth in the Bond Indenture.

The Series 1999 Bonds are subject to extraordinary mandatory redemption prior to maturity in the manner determined by the Bond Registrar if certain events occurred as outlined in the Bond Indenture. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, the District collected assessments and prepaid $85,000 on the Series 1999A Bonds and $90,000 on the Series1999B. See Note 15 – Subsequent events for additional call amount subsequent to the fiscal year end.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Series 1999 (Continued)
The Bond Indenture established a debt service reserve requirement as well as other restrictions and requirements relating principally to the use of proceeds to pay for the infrastructure improvements and the procedures to be followed by the District on assessments to property owners. The District agrees to levy special assessments in annual amounts adequate to provide payment of debt service and to meet the reserve requirements. The District is in compliance with those requirements of the Bond Indenture at September 30, 2010.

Series 2002
In March 2002, the District issued $15,450,000 of Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2002 consisting of $10,120,000 Term Bonds Series 2002A due on May 1, 2033 with a fixed interest rate of 6.875% and $5,330,000 Term Bonds Series 2002B due on May 1, 2033 with a fixed interest rate of 6.625%. The Bonds were issued to finance the acquisition and construction of certain improvements for the benefit of the District. Interest is to be paid semiannually on each May 1 and November 1. Principal is to be paid on May 1, commencing May 1, 2004.

The Series 2002 Bonds are subject to redemption at the option of the District, in whole or in part at any time on or after May 1, 2012 at a redemption price as set forth in the Bond Indenture.

The Series 2002 Bonds are subject to extraordinary mandatory redemption prior to maturity in the manner determined by the Bond Registrar if certain events occurred as outlined in the Bond Indenture.

The Bond Indenture established a debt service reserve requirement as well as other restrictions and requirements relating principally to the use of proceeds to pay for the infrastructure improvements and the procedures to be followed by the District on assessments to property owners. The District agrees to levy special assessments in annual amounts adequate to provide payment of debt service and to meet the reserve requirements. The requirement wasn't met as of September 30, 2010 because of delinquent special assessments due from the Developer. Consequently there were insufficient funds to pay the principal and interest on the 2002 Series Bonds due on or before May 1, 2010. As a result, an event of default has occurred. See note 7 for details.

The Series 2002 Bond Indenture has been amended which directed the Trustee to withdraw $29,129 from the Series 2002A reserve subaccount and $15,303 from the Series 2002B reserve subaccount and deposit into the Remedial Expenditures Account to be used to pay remedial fees (legal fees and expenses, and consultant charges related to the preparation for and enforcement of a foreclosure action to enforce the collection of delinquent special assessments). Pursuant to the provisions of the Bond Indenture, at least a majority of the Bond owners' consent was obtained.

Series 2005
In December 2005, the District issued $18,095,000 of Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 due May 1, 2038 with a fixed interest rate of 6.00%. The Bonds were issued to finance the acquisition and construction of certain improvements for the benefit of the District. Interest is to be paid semiannually on each May 1 and November 1. Principal is to be paid on May 1, commencing May 1, 2009.

The Series 2005 Bonds are subject to redemption at the option of the District, in whole or in part at any time on or after May 1, 2016 at a redemption price as set forth in the Bond Indenture.

The Series 2005 Bonds are subject to extraordinary mandatory redemption prior to maturity in the manner determined by the Bond Registrar if certain events occurred as outlined in the Bond Indenture.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Series 2005 (Continued)
The Bond Indenture established a debt service reserve requirement as well as other restrictions and requirements relating principally to the use of proceeds to pay for the infrastructure improvements and the procedures to be followed by the District on assessments to property owners. The District agrees to levy special assessments in annual amounts adequate to provide payment of debt service and to meet the reserve requirements. The requirement wasn't met as of September 30, 2010 because of delinquent special assessments due from the Developer. Consequently there were insufficient funds to pay the principal and interest on the 2005 Series Bonds due on or before May 1, 2010. As a result, the Bondholders directed the Trustee to redeem $4,000,000 aggregate principal amount of outstanding Bonds on June 18, 2010, and redeemed additional $1,500,000 aggregate principal amount on September 29, 2010 by using existing moneys in the 2005 Acquisition and Construction Account. As such, an event of default has occurred. See note 7 for details.

The Series 2005 Bond Indenture has been amended which directed the Trustee to withdraw $55,568 from the Series 2005 acquisition and construction account and deposit into the Remedial Expenditures Account to be used to pay remedial fees (legal fees and expenses, and consultant charges related to the preparation for and enforcement of a foreclosure action to enforce the collection of delinquent Special Assessments). Pursuant to the provisions of the Bond Indenture, at least a majority of the Bond owners' consent was obtained.

Series 2006
In November 2006, the District issued $6,570,000 of Special Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006 due May 1, 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 4.20%. Bonds were issued to refund $6,790,000 of the Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1996. Interest is to be paid semiannually on each May 1 and November 1, commencing May 1, 2007. Principal is to be paid on May 1, commencing May 1, 2008.

The Series 2006 Bonds are subject to redemption at the option of the District, in whole or in part at any time at a redemption price as set forth in the Bond Indenture.

The Series 2006 Bonds are subject to extraordinary mandatory redemption prior to maturity in the manner determined by the Bond Registrar if certain events occurred as outlined in the Bond Indenture. This occurred during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 as the District collected assessments and prepaid $35,000 of the Series 2006 Bonds. See Note 15 – Subsequent events for additional call amount subsequent to the fiscal year end.

The Bond Indenture established a debt service reserve requirement as well as other restrictions and requirements relating principally to the use of proceeds to pay for the infrastructure improvements and the procedures to be followed by the District on assessments to property owners. The District agrees to levy special assessments in annual amounts adequate to provide payment of debt service and to meet the reserve requirements. The District is in compliance with those requirements of the Bond Indenture at September 30, 2010.

SunTrust Loan
On March 23, 2006 the District entered into an agreement with SunTrust Bank and obtained a Promissory Note on the principal amount not to exceed $3,600,000 for the purpose of financing the repairs to District assets damaged by hurricanes. The interest rate of 5.22% was fixed at the day following the day the last advance was made. The interest and principal are payable in quarterly installments on each April 30, July 30, October 30, and January 30. Interest payments commenced January 30, 2007 and principal payments commenced April 30, 2007. The Note matures on March 23, 2011.

The Note is subject to optional prepayment in whole or in part at any time in the manner determined by the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement establishes requirements for an assessment account (110% of the principal and interest due on each April 1, beginning April 1, 2007) maintained by the District in order to secure repayment of the Note. The District is in compliance with those requirements at September 30, 2010.

NOTE 7 – LONG TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Long-term debt transactions

Changes in long-term liability activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 were as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Due Within One Year
Governmental activities					
Bonds payable:					
Series 1999A	$ 6,745,000	$ -	$ (485,000)	$ 6,260,000	$ 415,000
Series 1999B	2,120,000		(215,000)	1,905,000	130,000
Series 2002A	9,365,000		-	9,365,000	170,000
Series 2002B	4,920,000		-	4,920,000	90,000
Series 2005	17,865,000		(5,500,000)	12,365,000	175,000
Series 2006	4,995,000		(500,000)	4,495,000	485,000
SunTrust loan agreement	1,037,840		(590,555)	447,285	447,285
Capital leases	-	15,856	(4,430)	11,426	7,964
Less: deferred amount on refunding	(129,685)		18,527	(111,158)	-
Total	$ 46,918,155	$ 15,856	$ (7,276,458)	$ 39,657,553	$ 1,920,249

The ending balance as reported above includes the following amounts reported in the statement of net assets as follows:

Total ending balances	$ 39,657,553
Less: Unpaid principal due to Bondholder	(245,000)
Non-current liabilities	$ 39,412,553

The due within one year amount as reported above of $1,920,249 does not include the unpaid principal due to Bondholders of $245,000 that is included in the principal for the year ending September 30, 2011 as reported below.

At September 30, 2010, the scheduled debt service requirements on the long - term debt were as follows:

	Governmental Activities		
Year ending September 30:	Principal	Interest	Total
2011	$ 2,165,250	$ 3,332,260	$ 5,497,510
2012	1,548,461	2,292,653	3,841,114
2013	1,640,000	2,196,416	3,836,416
2014	1,730,000	2,106,251	3,836,251
2015	1,830,000	2,010,714	3,840,714
2016-2020	9,435,000	8,442,677	17,877,677
2021-2025	5,750,000	6,022,431	11,772,431
2026-2030	6,605,000	4,192,694	10,797,694
2031-2035	6,560,000	1,821,663	8,381,663
2036-2038	2,505,000	306,600	2,811,600
Total	$ 39,768,711	$ 32,724,359	$ 72,493,070

NOTE 8 – EVENT OF DEFAULT

On May 28, 2010, the District declared the occurrence of two events of default in accordance with the Series 2002 and Series 2005 Bond Indenture. The occurrence of an event of default creates certain remedial rights and remedies in favor of the Bondholders. Pursuant to the Indenture, the owners of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds then outstanding may direct the Trustee with regard to such rights and remedies following an event of default and upon provision of indemnity satisfactory to the Trustee and in accordance with provisions of the Indenture. However, as a result of Developer bankruptcy filing (see Note 9 for details), remedial action against the Developer is stayed. No assurance can be given as to the amount or timing of payments, if any to the bondholders for Series 2002 and Series 2005 Bonds.

NOTE 9 – DEVELOPER BANKRUPTCY

On February 23, 2010, the Developer filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. The Developer owns a significant portion of the land within the District and the District is economically dependent on the Developer. There are delinquent assessments due from the Developer for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. See Note 9 for details.

The Bankruptcy Court approved a "debtor in possession" loan facility, on an interim basis, that provides for monthly payment of "off roll" O&M Assessments as budgeted by the Developer and other assessment payments to the District only if certain sales targets are met in the future. The District has filed a proof of claim with the bankruptcy court. However, the likelihood of complete recovery cannot yet be determined. It is anticipated, however, that the District's liens will remain preserved throughout the reorganization.

NOTE 10 – DEVELOPER TRANSACTIONS

The Developer owns significant portions of land within the District; therefore, assessment revenues in the general and debt service funds include the assessments levied on those lots owned by the Developer.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, the Developer assessment revenue in the general fund was $707,350. The Developer also paid $16,659 to the Series 1999 debt service fund.

In the prior year, there were $1,498,798 of off roll delinquent debt service assessments due from the Developer, which $743,882 was for the Series 2002 Bond and $754,916 was for the Series 2005 Bond. In addition to the prior year, there were $2,526,694 of off roll delinquent debt service assessments due from the Developer for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, of which $1,214,794 was for the Series 2002 Bond and $1,311,900 was for the Series 2005 Bond. The District Board tried to proceed with foreclosure action on the delinquent assessments. However, just prior to the foreclosure filings, the Developer filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. As a result, the foreclosure filings were automatically stayed pursuant to 11 USC § 362. Those delinquent assessments have been recognized as revenue in the governmental-wide financial statements as the District Board believes the District's claims shall remain as the liens are attached to the land.

NOTE 11 – DEFICIT FUND EQUITY

At September 30, 2010, the District has deficit fund balances in both the Series 2002 and Series 2005 debt service fund in the amount of ($828,611) and ($55,559), respectively. The deficits remain as of the date of the report. As a result, management has determined that a financial emergency condition has been met.

NOTE 12 – RELATED ENTITY TRANSACTIONS

The District is related to Fiddler's Creek Community Development District II and shares the same Developer. The District has a receivable in the amount of $3,366 from Fiddler's Creek Community Development District II for shared costs as of September 30, 2010.

NOTE 13 – MANAGEMENT COMPANY

The District has contracted with Wrathell, Hunt and Associates to perform management advisory services, which include financial and accounting advisory services. Certain employees of the management company also serve as officers of the District. Under the agreement, the District compensates the management company for management, accounting, financial reporting, computer and other administrative costs.

NOTE 14 – RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters. The District has obtained commercial insurance from independent third parties to mitigate the costs of these risks; coverage may not extend to all situations. Settled claims from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage over the past three years.

NOTE 15 – LITIGATION AND CLAIMS

In the prior year, a claim was filed against the District by a landscape company for breach of contract. The District agreed to settle the case during fiscal year 2010 for $25,000.

On September 13, 2010 the District received a demand letter from the Trustee alleged that the District was acting as an alter ego of the bankruptcy Debtor/Developer by routinely promoting/protecting the interests of the developer over those of the bondholders. The District believes it had acted in an appropriate, independent manner based on the circumstances as they unfolded in the bankruptcy case. No additional action was taken by neither the District nor the Trustee with respect to same.

NOTE 16 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Bond Payments

Series 1999
In November 2010 the District prepaid $15,000 of the Series 1999A Bonds and $30,000 of the Series 1999B Bonds. The prepayments were considered extraordinary mandatory redemptions as outlined in the Bond Indentures.

Series 2006
In November 2010 the District prepaid $15,000 of the Series 2006 Bonds. The prepayments were considered extraordinary mandatory redemptions as outlined in the Bond Indentures.

Series 2002 and Series 2005
Subsequent to September 30, 2010, the District did not pay any principal and interest payments on the Series 2002 and Series 2005 Bonds when due. The events of default are continuing and no assurance can be given as to the amount or timing of payments, if any, to the bondholders for the Series 2002 and Series 2005 Binds as of the date of the report.

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with Final Budget - Positive
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Negative)
REVENUES				
Assessments	$ 2,744,503	2,499,440	$ 2,471,119	$ (28,321)
Interest	6,000	3,949	4,585	636
Miscellaneous income	5,000	6,542	6,542	-
Total revenues	2,755,503	2,509,931	2,482,246	(27,685)
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
General government	305,444	686,816	640,324	46,492
Maintenance and operations	1,788,573	1,729,658	1,746,163	(16,505)
Debt Service:				
Principal and interest	633,793	633,793	637,513	(3,720)
Capital outlay	-	-	15,856	(15,856)
Total expenditures	2,727,810	3,050,267	3,039,856	10,411
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	$ 27,693	(540,336)	(557,610)	(17,274)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfers In		324,913	342,240	17,327
Use of sources		199,567		(199,567)
Capital leases		15,856	15,856	-
		540,336	358,096	(182,240)
Net change in fund balances		$ -	(199,514)	$ (199,514)
Fund balance - beginning			860,340	
Fund balance - ending			$ 660,826	

See notes to required supplementary information

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1
COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA
NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The District is required to establish a budgetary system and an approved Annual Budget for the general fund. The District's budgeting process is based on estimates of cash receipts and cash expenditures which are approved by the Board. The budget approximates a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (generally accepted accounting principles).

The legal level of budgetary control, the level at which expenditures may not exceed budget is in the aggregate. Any budget amendments that increase the aggregate budgeted appropriations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors. The general fund budget for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 was amended to decrease revenues by ($245,572), increase appropriations by $322,457, and increase other financing sources (uses) by $540,336. The decrease in revenues is primarily the result of less than anticipated assessments being budgeted and the increase in appropriations is primarily due to legal costs exceeded anticipated amounts, which were incurred in association with the default and foreclosure issues. Actual general fund expenditures did not exceed appropriations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

Grau & Associates
certified public accountants

2700 North Military Trail • Suite 350
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561)994-9299 • (800)299-4728
Fax (561)994-5823
www.graucpa.com

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Board of Supervisors
Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1
Collier County, Florida

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, Collier County, Florida ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 21, 2011, which includes an emphasis of matter paragraph. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters
As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards.*

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the District in a separate letter dated March 21, 2011.

This report is intended for the information and use of the management, Board of Supervisors of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, Collier County, Florida and the Auditor General of the State of Florida and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Grau & Associates

March 21, 2011

Grau & Associates
certified public accountants

2700 North Military Trail • Suite 350
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-9299 • (800) 299-4728
Fax (561) 994-5823
www.graucpa.com

MANAGEMENT LETTER PURSUANT TO THE RULES OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA

To the Board of Supervisors
Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1
Collier County, Florida

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1 ("District") as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated March 21, 2011, which includes an emphasis of matter paragraph.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

In addition, we have issued our Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Compliance and Other Matters dated March 21, 2011. Disclosures in that report should be considered in conjunction with this management letter.

The purpose of this letter is to comment on those matters required by Chapter 10.550 of the Rules of the Auditor General for the State of Florida. Accordingly, in connection with our audit of the financial statements of the District, as described in the first paragraph, we report the following:

I. Current year findings and recommendations.
II. Status of prior year findings and recommendations.
III. Compliance with the Provisions of the Auditor General of the State of Florida.

This report is intended for the information of the management, Board of Supervisors of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, Collier County, Florida and the Auditor General of the State of Florida and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We wish to thank Fiddler's Creek Community Development District 1, Collier County, Florida and the personnel associated with it, for the opportunity to be of service to them in this endeavor as well as future engagements, and the courtesies extended to us.

Grau & Associates
March 21, 2011

REPORT TO MANAGEMENT

I. CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2010-01 Financial Condition Assessment:

Observation: The District's financial conditions are deteriorating. The Developer did not pay assessments levied for the Series 2002 and Series 2005 Bonds for both the 2010 and 2009 fiscal year. As a result, there were insufficient funds to pay the principal and interest on the Series 2002 and 2005 Bonds due on or before May 1, 2010, and related reserve requirements were not met. Consequently, events of default on both the Series 2002 and 2005 Bonds have occurred and are continuing. In addition, the District has deficit fund balances in the both the Series 2002 and 2005 debt service funds in the amount of ($828,611) and ($55,559), respectively. During the current year, the Developer filed Chapter 11 for bankruptcy protection and the District is economically dependent on the Developer.

Recommendation: The District should take steps to alleviate the deteriorating financial condition.

Management Response: The District, Developer, Bondholders and Trustee are all working to resolve the items noted above.

II. PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2009-01: Debt Service Reserve Requirement

Current Status: Due to finding no. 2010-01 above, the deficits on the reserve account continue and reserve requirement on the Series 2002 and Series 2005 Bonds were not met at September 30, 2010.

III. COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

1. A statement as to whether or not corrective actions have been taken to address findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report.

   There were no significant findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, except as noted above.

2. A statement as to whether or not the local governmental entity complied with Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, regarding the investment of public funds.

   The District complied with Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, regarding the investment of public funds.

3. Any recommendations to improve the local governmental entity's financial management.

   There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, to be reported for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, except as noted above.

4. Violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse, that have occurred, or are likely to have occurred, that have an effect on the financial statements that is less than material but more than inconsequential.

   There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, to be reported, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, except as noted above.

REPORT TO MANAGEMENT (Continued)

5. For matters that have an inconsequential effect on the financial statements, considering both quantitative and qualitative factors, the following may be reported based on professional judgment:

   a. Violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, fraud, illegal acts, or abuse.

   b. Deficiencies in internal control that are not significant deficiencies.

   There were no such matters discovered by, or that came to the attention of, the auditor, that, in our judgment, are required to be reported, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, except as noted above.

6. The name or official title and legal authority of the District are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

7. The financial report filed with the Florida Department of Financial Services pursuant to Section 218.32(1)(a), Florida Statutes agrees with the September 30, 2010 financial audit report.

8. In connection with our audit, we determined that the District has met one or more of the financial emergency conditions described in Section 218.503 (1), Florida Statutes. At September 30, 2010, the District reported deficit fund balances in both the Series 2002 and 2005 debt service fund in the amount of ($828,611) and ($55,559), respectively. In addition, the District failed to make debt service payments as a result of lack of funds. We applied financial condition assessment procedures pursuant to Rule 10.556(7) and determined that a deteriorating financial condition was noted. See Finding Number 2010-01 above for additional information. It is management's responsibility to monitor financial condition, and our financial condition assessment was based in part on representations made by management and the review of financial information provided by same.

RESOLUTION 2011-3

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1 HEREBY ACCEPTING THE AUDITED FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

WHEREAS, the District's Auditor, Grau & Associates, has heretofore prepared and submitted to the Board, for accepting, the District's Audited Financial Report for Fiscal Year 2010;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1;

1. The Audited Financial Report for Fiscal Year 2010, heretofore submitted to the Board, is hereby accepted for Fiscal Year 2010, for the period ending September 30, 2010; and

2. A verified copy of said Audited Financial Report for Fiscal Year 2010 shall be attached hereto as an exhibit to this Resolution, in the District's "Official Record of Proceedings".

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 2011.

FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1

_____
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

_____
Chair/Vice Chair

MINUTES OF MEETING
FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1 & FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2

A Joint Regular Meeting of the Boards of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 and Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2 was held on **Wednesday, March 23, 2011** at **8:00 a.m.,** at the **Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34114**.

Present at the meeting were:

For Fiddler's Creek CDD #1:

Phillip Brougham	Chair
Jim Curland	Vice Chair
Jim Schutt	Assistant Secretary
Gerald Bergmoser	Assistant Secretary
Robert Slater	Assistant Secretary

For Fiddler's Creek CDD #2:

Manuel Correia	Vice Chair
Victoria DiNardo	Assistant Secretary
Gretchen Scott	Assistant Secretary
Peggy Schmitt	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Operations Manager
Terry Cole	District Engineer
Tony Pires	District Counsel
Ron Albeit	Fiddler's Creek Foundation
Aleida Martinez Molina (via telephone)	Weiss Serota, CDD #1 Special Counsel
Robert DeMarco (via telephone)	Treiser Collins, CDD #2 Special Counsel
Cindy Calvert	Keefe, McCullough & Co., LLP
Paul Battista (via telephone)	Debtor's Counsel
Tony DiNardo	Gulf Bay, Developer
Halle Alexander	Greenberg Traurig, Trustee Counsel, Representing US Bank
Andrew Sanford	ITG Holdings, LLC
Amanda Barton	ITG Holdings, LLC
Tom Messana	Bondholder Counsel

John Hutton (via telephone)	Trustee Counsel, Representing US Bank
Bob Preed	Resident
Jim Vajen	Golf Superintendant

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS **Call to Order/Roll Call**

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m., and noted, for the record, that all Supervisors were present, in person, for CDD #1. Supervisors Correia, DiNardo, Scott and Schmitt were present, in person, for CDD #2; Supervisor Robertson was not present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS **Discussion: Corrections/Additions to the Agenda**

- **Approval of March 23, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Agenda, *CDD #1***
- **Approval of March 23, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Agenda, *CDD #2***

Mr. Brougham asked for any additions or corrections to the agenda. There were no additions or corrections.

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #1 ITEMS

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS **Update: Bankruptcy Proceedings - Aleida Martinez Molina**

Mr. Paul Battista, debtor's counsel, indicated they have finalized and filed their second amended plans of reorganization for each of the debtors. They have also finalized and filed the second amended joint disclosure statement. At the last hearing, the court approved the second amended joint disclosure statement, subject to the last few changes to be made; those changes were made and circulated and, not receiving any comment, the final document and order approving the disclosure statement and setting the various deadlines were submitted to the court. The court set the confirmation hearing for May 26. The most critical date to the Districts is the voting deadline for parties to cast their vote in favor or against the plan, set for May 16 at 5:00 p.m. Once the court signs the order approving the disclosure statement and setting these deadlines, they have until April 15 to mail the disclosure statement and applicable plan, along with the order approving the disclosure statement and the ballot, to each creditor entitled to vote

on the plan. Each District will receive a packet and have the opportunity to vote in favor or against the plan. Mr. Battista indicated he spoke to Mr. DeMarco regarding the District's desire to schedule a special or general meeting to consider the issues in connection with how they desire to vote, prior to May 16, which is the date by which the ballot must be cast.

Mr. Brougham asked who signs the ballots. Mr. Battista indicated the creditor signs the ballot so he expects that the Chair would sign, on behalf of the District.

Mr. Battista reported they found a buyer for the 13 debtor-owned condominiums at the Marco Beach Ocean Resort for about $2.1 million, which is the appraised value of the units. The contract is not subject to financing and they should be in court seeking approval of the sale later today. He spoke of several other recent sales and indicated their ability to sell is improving. Mr. Battista noted they finalized a settlement with Fifth Third Bank, which was approved by the court and has been implemented. He stated, as they move towards confirmation, they are moving closer to their goal of global consensual resolution of any issues with any of their creditors. He is hopeful that, at the confirmation hearing on May 26, all parties will be agreeable to confirmation of the plan.

Mr. Brougham asked what happens if they do not have 100% consensual agreement on the day of the May 26 confirmation hearing and if it is protocol that, by the close of business on May 27, the confirmation hearing will conclude, regardless, or could things be extended past the 27th. Mr. Battista expected the confirmation could be completed in two (2) days with the judge taking any unresolved matters under advisement or, testimony and arguments may carry over.

Mr. Curland asked if CDD #2 will vote twice, as it has two (2) bond series. Mr. Battista indicated the votes are by claim, by bond issuance so there should be more than one (1) per plan for the CDDs to vote on. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated CDD #1 has four (4) different claims whose ballots they will vote on. Mr. Brougham asked if those are further splits between operations and maintenance (O&M) class and bond classes. Mr. Battista and Ms. Martinez Molina replied affirmatively.

Ms. Martinez Molina indicated there was a declaratory action yesterday that was filed by bondholder entities and two (2) others, which is a request to the bankruptcy judge to say yes or no whether their recent filing of a fraud case against the non-debtor, Gulf Bay Entities, is stayed by the bankruptcy. She indicated it is not a lawsuit *per se;* it is for the court to determine

whether it is a violation of the automatic stay. Mr. Battista elaborated that, a few weeks ago, the bond group filed a lawsuit in Hillsborough County against a number of non-debtor affiliates, including the ultimate corporate owners of Fiddler's Creek, including Mr. Ferraro and Mr. DiNardo, along with Gulf Bay Capital, alleging there were fraudulent misrepresentations made in connection with the issuances of the bonds that they purchased. Mr. Battista indicated he sent them a demand letter asserting that the complaint was a violation of the automatic stay, because they must first determine claims against the debtor, before they could determine alter-ego claims against third parties. Mr. Battista indicated the deadline for them to dismiss the complaint, under his letter, was yesterday and, in response, he found out last night that their counsel filed a declaratory relief action in bankruptcy court asking the court to make a determination as to whether the lawsuit filed in Hillsborough County did, or did not, violate the automatic stay. At the same time, he learned the Hillsborough County lawsuit was removed or transferred to the bankruptcy court by the plaintiff bond group. Mr. Battista indicated he is currently evaluating what to do with respect to the lawsuit and how to proceed; however, it is and remains their position that the lawsuit violates the automatic stay. Ms. Martinez Molina noted that is an ancillary issue; it does not directly affect the CDDs, at this point.

Ms. Martinez Molina recalled that Mr. Battista and Mr. DeMarco discussed having a town hall meeting for questions and issues to be asked of the debtors' counsel. Discussion ensued regarding possible dates. For the benefit of bondholders' counsel in attendance, Mr. DeMarco stated he was hopeful bondholders' counsel would attend, as there is a divergence of opinion with respect to the confirmability of the various plans as they are proposed. Mr. DeMarco felt the debtor's and bondholders' counsel will each have their own perspective and, for the benefit of the public and the CDD Board Members, for the purposes of determining how to vote, it would be helpful to have an open discussion between both factions, to provide all perspectives. Mr. DeMarco suggested filing a notice in the bankruptcy case so that anybody who received the electronic filing notices can either attend, prepare a statement or file something to be available for the Boards to review, regarding their perspective.

Mr. Correia felt, given there is no concurrence between the bondholders and debtors, leaving the CDDs in the middle, there would likely be hundreds of people attending a town hall meeting on the subject. He wondered if animosity between the parties might become inflamed at

such a meeting and they may end up further apart by the end of the meeting. He thought perhaps a unifying meeting, later on, might make better sense. Mr. Slater disagreed with Mr. Correia's concerns and voiced his feeling that an open forum, as soon as possible, would be good. Mr. Brougham voiced his support of an open forum for residents but his concern is the number of attorneys and different sides represented. Mr. Brougham indicated the statement of positions would be helpful and questions from the Board and audience is appropriate but worried it could become like a courtroom and wondered how to control that. Mr. Brougham suggested the Boards agree on an agenda for the town hall meeting, as a means of moving it along. Mr. DeMarco addressed the concern about the various parties battling each other and the perceived degree of animosity, stating his belief that there is no doubt that they can all maintain the required level of civility necessary. Mr. DeMarco felt the meeting should have a strong moderator but confirmed he does not see this becoming a battle of the attorneys. Mr. Schutt felt the number of residents to attend such a meeting would be far less than 800, as, by the middle of April, many will have left. Mr. Slater indicated he is more worried about the animosity between the residents than between the attorneys, as there are strong resident opinions for and against Gulf Bay. Mr. Brougham proposed holding the town hall meeting on Monday, April 18, 2011, and that he and Management draft an agenda and develop ground rules for public input. Mr. Adams suggested a start time of 8:00 a.m.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Curland, with all in favor, CDD #1's participation in a town hall meeting, to be held on April 18, 2011 at 8:00 a.m., at this location, with Mr. Brougham moderating the meeting, and directing Staff to work with Mr. Brougham and the respective attorneys to draft an agenda agreeable to all principled parties, was approved.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, CDD #2's participation in a town hall meeting, to be held on April 18, 2011 at 8:00 a.m., at this location, with Mr. Brougham moderating the meeting, and directing Staff to work with Mr. Brougham and the respective attorneys to draft an agenda agreeable to all principled parties, was approved.

Mr. DeMarco and Ms. Martinez Molina were in agreement with the date, time and terms of the town hall meeting. Mr. John Hutton, trustee counsel, representing US Bank, indicated he does not feel this is an appropriate mechanism for the Boards to be considering how they should be voting on the bondholders' claims. Mr. Hutton felt having a public forum would suggest that the Boards would be taking public input as to how they should vote on the treatment of bond claims when it is really the bondholders' money, not the public's money. Mr. Hutton noted if the purpose is to decide how the Boards will vote on the O&M claims, which may be different but just having argument before the Boards on the legal treatment runs into the question of should the Boards not be deferring to the bondholders in terms of the bondholders' claims because it is their money. Mr. Hutton voiced his opinion that, if the point is to have negotiations, then the public forum is not the appropriate mechanism for that, either. In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Mr. Hutton indicated he does not know if they will attend. Ms. Amanda Barton and Mr. Andrew Sanford, of ITG Holdings, LLC, confirmed their attendance.

Regarding the voting, Mr. Schutt asked Ms. Martinez Molina if it would be anticipated or expected that the CDDs follow the direction of the bondholders or bondholders' trustees, relative to their position. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated she will not address Mr. Schutt's question directly; however, a few days ago, counsel for the bondholders presented the CDDs with discovery, including interrogatories and requests for documents, which they are preparing to serve upon the debtors, and strongly urged the CDDs to join in on the discovery. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated, by joining in, they mean having the CDDs add their names to the interrogatories and requests for documents. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated their purpose in propounding this discovery upon the debtors is to further flesh out the issues and have them answer questions about the plans to have more detailed information about what is set forth in the plans and disclosure statement in order to make an informed decision about the feasibility of the plans. Ms. Martinez Molina noted the interrogatories and requests for documents are very

thorough, ask for a lot of data and are very broad. She stated, as an officer of the court, she could not put her name on something as broad as this and believes the questions exceed the scope of permissible discovery. Another issue is that the discovery requests will, no doubt, bring about a significant amount of litigation, requiring a lot of attorney work time. Another consideration is to what extent the bondholders can suggest or tell the CDDs to join them. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated her opinion is that, while the CDDs may have fiduciary obligations to the bondholders, it does not necessarily mean they must sign on to these discovery requests, as currently drafted. She proposed propounding of a different version of the same request.

Mr. Schutt referred to Ms. Martinez Molina's feeling that the request for information is much too broad and that she would support an amended version. He asked how they go from too much to the right amount. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated nothing has been filed so they can suggest examples of the appropriate questions to Mr. Hutton and advise him not to ask for everything and anything, if he wants the CDDs to participate, but this would take too much time.

Mr. Curland voiced his understanding that the bondholders do not have standing in this proceeding, stating the judge ruled they do not have standing and the CDDs are their spokespersons for purposes of handling the bond issues. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated it depends on who you ask; the statement about the judge's ruling is too broad. Mr. Hutton indicated there has been only one instance where the judge ruled that the bondholders do not have standing and that was in respect to the single asset real estate motion; the court has heard from the bondholders on other issues. Mr. Hutton stated the court has not made a determination yet on whether the bondholders or indenture trustee have standing with respect to treatment of bondholders' claims, under the plan. Mr. Curland asked Mr. Hutton if the plan is in serious jeopardy of not being approved if it does not get the support of the CDDs on its request for discovery. Mr. Hutton replied affirmatively, indicating if the CDDs do not join in, then the debtors will push back and argue that the bondholders alone do not have standing, which will delay things and frustrate the bondholders' abilities to contest those things at confirmation. Mr. Hutton indicated they want to work with the Districts and welcome comment from them and will work to resolve them. Mr. Brougham indicated the first amended disclosure statement has been public for a few months and the bondholders have had questions about it for a while and asked why they did not make more specific and timely requests for information, long before this late

229 date. Mr. Hutton clarified the second amended disclosure statement was just filed on March 18
230 and the confirmation hearing is more than two (2) months away and it would have been
231 premature to file the requests prior to receiving the second amended disclosure statement. Mr.
232 Hutton indicated they feel this is timely and also standard procedure. Mr. Brougham asked Mr.
233 Hutton if his response means there were substantial differences in data, information and scope
234 between the first and second amended disclosure statements. Mr. Hutton indicated they did not
235 feel it appropriate to serve discovery until the plans and disclosure statements were final and
236 filed.

237 Mr. Battista observed that the debtors continue to take the position that the bondholders
238 and indenture trustee do not have standing because they are not creditors of the debtors but, in
239 fact, the plans of reorganization that were filed only contain creditors in the classes of claims.
240 Only the CDDs are listed in the plans as the creditors so only the CDDs, with respect to these
241 issues, have the right to vote on the plan; there is no mechanism in that plan, as presented to the
242 court, for any bondholder to vote on the plan. Bondholders will not receive a ballot and will not
243 be able to cast a ballot. If the Districts decide, based on their analysis, to vote in favor of these
244 plans or reorganization, then they do not have a contested confirmation hearing because, at that
245 time, substantially, all of the classes will be voting in favor of the plan and it will not be
246 contested. Mr. Battista concluded, if the CDDs vote against the plan, then things will be
247 different.

248 Mr. Tom Messana, bondholders' counsel representing US Bank, noted the Boards need to
249 understand the distinction between issues that are material for disclosure statements and issues
250 that are material for confirmation of a plan. There are items that are distinct to both of them. He
251 noted the oddity that the bondholders are discussing, with the CDDs, the litigation issues, with
252 the debtors' counsel present, which is extremely unusual for the context of a litigated process.
253 He stated, ordinarily, the communications between Mr. DeMarco, Ms. Martinez Molina and the
254 bondholders have been done in the purview of the debtors and, to the extent that this all going to
255 be done in public and, while he welcomes discussion, he urged them to understand this in active
256 litigation, in his client's view. Mr. Messana clarified that he represents two (2) of the Fiddler's
257 Creek Holdings, CDD #2 2004 bonds and CDD #1 2005 bonds.

Mr. Brougham asked Ms. Martinez Molina to advise the CDD #1 Board of their options with regard to the request for them to join in the discovery. Ms. Martinez Molina thanked Mr. Messana for his clarifications and acknowledged his observation that this is an unorthodox litigation approach and explained the involvement and situation of the CDDs in this type of matter, which is different, with discussions generally conducted in an open forum. She summarized that the options for the CDDs include signing on to the discovery proposed by bondholders' counsel, as is, which she does not recommend, as it will invite a lot of litigation requiring money and time. Other options include working with bondholders' counsel to develop a more palatable discovery request, the CDDs can propound their own discovery request or the CDDs can do nothing.

Mr. Curland asked about supporting the bondholders and speculated that if the debtors challenge the discovery request on the grounds it is too expansive, then the attorneys could argue about narrowing the discovery. He felt the feasibility of the sales plan should be questioned, as it seems to project the ability to sell being better than it was, even at the height of the housing market. Ms. Martinez Molina indicated there are questions the CDDs should ask but the Districts need to decide how to do it; it should be something different than bondholders' counsel's current proposed discovery. In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Ms. Martinez Molina confirmed the bondholders can file discovery on their own, without the CDDs' support.

Ms. Scott clarified it is lots that need to be sold, not houses, and the lots would likely be sold to a builder, which might be more feasible.

Mr. Brougham summarized Ms. Martinez Molina's opinion is that the bondholders' request for discovery is too broad and expansive; however, she suggests CDD #1 has some legitimate discovery questions to propose. He felt CDD #1 should give Ms. Martinez Molina the opportunity to construct her own discovery, assuming it will be more focused and narrower, in scope.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Schutt, with all in favor, directing Ms. Martinez Molina, on behalf of CDD #1, to construct a discovery request that is narrower in focus and pertinent to the questions that are important to CDD #1's Board, working independently or in conjunction with bondholders' indenture trustee's counsel, if they choose to participate, and provide the discovery motion to the Board, for consideration, in one (1) week; further directing Ms. Martinez Molina to proceed, as directed, should no other parties choose to participate, was approved.

Mr. Correia asked Mr. DeMarco for his comments regarding the discovery request discussions.

Mr. DeMarco indicated the question is to what extent does CDD #2 elect to sign on to the discovery, as presented. He reviewed the discovery process and agreed with Ms. Martinez Molina's opinion that the scope of the discovery, as currently propounded, goes beyond the scope set forth by the civil rules of procedure. Mr. DeMarco discussed the timeline of filing the discovery request and deadlines for the debtor's response. With the current wording of the discovery, he has no doubt that the debtors will object to nearly everything and, once that happens, there must first be good faith discussions by the parties to try narrowing the issues for discovery; then, there must be a hearing on the objections and, by the time this all happens, they will not be able to use the information; it would be too little, too late. Mr. DeMarco confirmed the costs to CDD #2 for him to attend to this process will be huge, should they choose to participate in the discovery request, and, the District may end up with nothing useful from the discovery. Mr. DeMarco advised against joining in with the discovery, as currently phrased.

Regarding the motion just passed by CDD #1, Mr. DeMarco discussed the difficulty in constructing a discovery request for CDD #2, on his own, without first knowing what the CDD #2 Board already knows and what it wants to know or needs. He feels the Board must first look at the second amended disclosure statement and then direct him as to the things they still need to know. Mr. DeMarco felt Mr. Battista may be willing to provide any necessary information in a more informal way, if he has it, which would take less time than the formal discovery process.

Ms. Scott voiced her agreement with Mr. DeMarco and suggested CDD #2 do nothing, at this point, and let the process unfold, as it will. Mr. DeMarco further added that a benefit of

doing nothing is that it costs the CDD nothing and, if the discovery is propounded, CDD #2, as a party to the case, will still receive the benefit of the discovery.

Mr. Messana voiced his opinion that the discovery is narrowly tailored and disagreed with Mr. DeMarco and Ms. Martinez Molina's legal conclusion that it is overly broad or inappropriate.

Mr. Correia summarized Mr. DeMarco's advice is to not join with the discovery request. Mr. DeMarco replied affirmatively, adding that, if the CDD #2 Board has specific questions, he could address them with Mr. Battista in an informal way.

Mr. Andrew Sanford referred to the suggestion by Mr. DeMarco that it would be an inefficient use of funds to join in the discovery request and suggested the Board consider asking the trustee to share the costs to pursue it.

On MOTION for Fidler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, not joining into the motion of discovery, was approved.

Mr. Brougham reiterated CDD #1's decision and the motion passed earlier. Discussion ensued regarding a date and time to continue this meeting, for the purpose of reviewing Ms. Martinez Molina's draft discovery motion. The CDD #1 Board agreed to continue the meeting to Tuesday, March 29, 2011 at 8:00 a.m., at this location. Ms. Martinez Molina requested that discussion regarding the discovery to be propounded be held in executive session.

Mr. DeMarco clarified that his recommendation today is to not join in the discovery request as currently proposed; however, he is open to being cooperative with Ms. Martinez Molina, if the CDDs require similar information, and with Mr. Hutton, if he has different interrogatories that might be useful to CDD #2. Ms. DiNardo questioned whether Mr. DeMarco should work with Ms. Martinez Molina on any of the matters. Mr. DeMarco reiterated if they have similar questions, issues, etc., there should be no problem with them working together.

******Ms. Martinez Molina left the meeting.******

349 **FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #2 ITEMS**
350
351 **FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Update: Bankruptcy Proceedings -**
352 **Robert DeMarco**
353
354 Mr. DeMarco reiterated the second amended disclosure statement and second amended
355 plans have all been filed and are available for everyone's review. He noted CDD #2 will receive
356 a package and the opportunity to vote. Mr. DeMarco felt the upcoming town hall meeting will
357 provide information to everyone concerned. He addressed a CDD #1 Board Member's question
358 regarding to what extent the bondholders have the ability to direct the action taken by the CDD.
359 He explained they are involved in a three (3)-way participation situation; the bondholders are not
360 creditors of the debtors, the CDD is a creditor of the debtors. He feels the CDD has a contractual
361 relationship with the bondholders. He explained and differentiated the Board's and the individual
362 Board Members' fiduciary responsibilities to the CDD and its constituency, as well as to the
363 bondholders. He stated he has seen no court decisions that would support the contention that the
364 CDD Board Members must follow the bondholders' direction, with respect to the policy of the
365 CDD.
366 Mr. DeMarco indicated he received a request from Mr. Underwood, counsel for the
367 Series 2005 bonds, asking that CDD #2 file a motion for a leave from stay with respect to the
368 Fifth Third properties. Mr. DeMarco noted it is not clear which properties but he feels it is the
369 lots. The question is whether the CDD should consider filing a leave from stay. He sent a
370 message to Mr. Underwood notifying him of the need for more information prior to considering
371 the request. Mr. DeMarco confirmed doing this would result in significant costs to CDD #2. He
372 discussed the possible outcome if CDD #2 acquires the property at the foreclosure sale and
373 indicated the need to know what Mr. Underwood is trying to accomplish.
374 Mr. DeMarco indicated there is a proposed order with respect to the sale of Callista Unit
375 102, Building 17. Bond counsel approved a form, to which he also approves of, and is waiting
376 for the debtors' attorneys' response. Mr. DeMarco indicated the sale has the usual protections
377 for the CDD, such as bringing all taxes up-to-date, paying all future taxes, etc. Mr. DeMarco
378 indicated the hearing on this is today and suggested the Board authorize him to proceed, on the
379 CDD's behalf, regarding the sale.
380

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, granting Mr. DeMarco authority to proceed, regarding the sale of the Callista properties, as described, and acting in the best interests of CDD #2, was approved.

Mr. Demarco indicated there is a pending motion to be heard today, related to the Amador properties, regarding a motion for the purpose of vacating certain easements. There are certain lots that have drainage easements with the county and the motion is to have the county vacate those easements and replace them with easements on other lots. Mr. DeMarco indicated all parties are agreeable to the motion and this order also incorporates payment of all back taxes and all of CDD #2's delinquent assessments, including O&M, through the 2010 payment. The order is clear that the properties having the easement removed are still subject to all future taxes and CDD assessments and payments. Mr. DeMarco recommended the Board approve the order and allow it to be submitted as an agreed order at today's hearing.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Ms. Scott and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, granting Mr. DeMarco the authority to proceed, regarding CDD #2's approval of the vacation order related to the Amador drainage easement, as presented, was approved.

****Mr. DeMarco left the meeting.****

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Presentation of Audited Basic Financial Statements for Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010, Prepared by Keefe, McCullough & Co., LLP**

Ms. Cindy Calvert, of Keefe, McCullough & Co., LLP, presented the audit for fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. She referred to the auditor's opinion letter on Page 1 and noted this is a clean opinion meaning that the CDD's financial statements are in order. Ms. Calvert explained Paragraph 4 contains an emphasis of a matter which directs the reader to Note 12, which discloses that the outstanding bonds are considered to be in default and that the developer

has filed for bankruptcy. The balance sheet for governmental funds, on Page 9, reflects that the District's cash went down by about $3.2 million and its assessments receivable increased by about the same amount; overall, the total assets are about the same as in the previous year. Liabilities increased by about $4 million due to the deferred revenue from the assessments the District has not received, causing the fund balance to decrease by about $3.8 million.

Ms. Calvert further explained Note 12, on Page 25, which explains the continuing deterioration in the housing market, the developer's bankruptcy and that the CDD used reserve funds to make debt service payments in May. The CDD also failed to make debt service payments in November. She directed the Board to Page 26, indicating the report confirms they did not identify any deficiencies on internal control over financial reporting that would be considered material weaknesses. Under compliance, the deficiencies in the District's reserve account are disclosed. Ms. Calvert referred to the report to district management, on Pages 28 and 29, noting no issues are presented on the first page; however, the second paragraph, on Page 29, discloses that the District reported deficit unreserved fund balance of approximately $265,000.

In response to a question, Ms. Calvert indicated the bond redemption information is listed on Page 20.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Consideration of Resolution 2011-1, Accepting the Audited Basic Financial Statements for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010**

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, Resolution 2011-1, Accepting the Audited Basic Financial Statements for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010, was adopted.

JOINT MEETING ITEMS

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Developer's Report/Update**

There being nothing additional to report, the next item followed.

****Ms. Calvert left the meeting.****

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Engineer's Report**

Mr. Cole indicated the sidewalks were poured yesterday and the sidewalk repairs will be ongoing.

For the CDD #1 Series 2005 bond, Mr. Cole presented CDD #1 Requisition #55, for approximately $3,500, for costs related to updating bonds and work related to the streetlights at 951.

For the CDD #2 Series 2005 bond, Mr. Cole presented Requisition #63, for approximately $6,200, for costs related to updating the bond information and summaries, as well as water use monitoring.

Mr. Cole recalled he was asked to investigate a lake erosion problem in Whisper Trace; however, the address did not make sense, so he looked at the whole lake. Mr. Cole distributed pictures of the lake and summarized that all of the lakes have erosion, to a certain degree. He explained the various erosion conditions evidenced in the pictures. Mr. Brougham referred to a picture with a very steep drop-off and asked the allowable drop. Mr. Cole indicated South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) allows a vertical drop-off of about nine (9) inches and confirmed the lakes in these areas typically have a drop-off of more than that. Mr. Cole indicated, given the preliminary information, Mr. Adams asked him to complete a cursory review of all the CDD #1 lakes, noting this is also an issue in CDD #2. Mr. Cole has inspected almost all of the lakes and reported typical drops of 1 to 1½ feet on most of the lakes. Some lakes contain portions that are okay but other portions contain a typical drop, while other portions contain even greater drops. Mr. Cole indicated he did not observe any eminent problems as far as dangers to roadways or structures. Mr. Cole will meet with a contractor on Friday to review the various situations and obtain an opinion of how best to fix the problems. Mr. Cole briefly described the geotube repair method, current draught conditions and Collier County's water restrictions. Based on his meeting with the contractor, Mr. Cole will produce a report of the issues and the recommended actions, for the Boards' consideration at the next meeting. Mr. Cole reiterated the problems seem typical and he has not seen any major problems; no roadways or structures are eminently in danger. Mr. Brougham stated the CDDs cannot ignore the issues, as they are obligated to fix the problems. Mr. Adams voiced his feeling it is important to complete a comprehensive report and he provided a sample to Mr. Cole. Mr.

Adams spoke of the issue of the erosion causing the CDDs to be out of compliance with its permits, along with the need to safeguard their asset, that being the stormwater ponds. Mr. Adams indicated there are several options to addressing the problem and the Districts will need to develop a plan and determine how to fund or finance the project.

Mr. Bob Preed, a resident, voiced his surprise that there was any confusion as to the location of the issue; it is specifically behind 8380. Mr. Brougham noted that the entire lake was assessed. Mr. Preed stressed that three (3) trees have already been lost, into the lake, and a fourth tree is about to go. Mr. Preed indicated some residents estimated that between eight (8) and 12 feet of the lake has been lost, over the years. Mr. Brougham reassured Mr. Preed that the problem has been investigated and the Districts will repair it.

Mr. Pires noted that the SFWMD permit has not yet been transferred to the operational phase and, under SFWMD rules, the permit holder for the construction phase is responsible for compliance with SFWMD conditions permit criteria and the conditions of the permit, prior to transfer. Mr. Brougham suggested incorporating District Counsel's opinion into the discussion related to Mr. Cole's report next month. Mr. Adams noted discussions about whether, through the bankruptcy, the debtors have the ability to extinguish their obligations related to any permits, currently in their name. Mr. Adams indicated that CDD #1's former bankruptcy counsel, Mr. Doug Gonzalez, indicated they would have to affirmatively enter a motion to extinguish those obligations; it cannot just be done by going through the bankruptcy. The obligations remain in tact, unless affirmatively discarded as part of the bankruptcy.

Mr. Brougham summarized that, at the next meeting, Mr. Cole will have a comprehensive report of all the lake erosion issues, a recommended sense of priorities, present remediation options and costs and Mr. Adams will present financing options.

Brief discussion ensued regarding the easement area around the lakes.

Mr. Cole discussed a request forwarded to him related to easements and review of the titles owned by GB Development. This involves temporary easements that were put upon certain properties, or large groups of properties, so they could do CDD-related work. The question was whether certain easements could be extinguished because plats were recorded, over those areas. Mr. Cole and Mr. Pires discussed the issue. Mr. Cole is in the process of reviewing all of the

easements in question and will forward his comments to Mr. Adams and Mr. Pires. It was suggested that Mr. Cole speak to Mark Woodward.

Regarding transfer of ownership, Mr. Brougham indicated there have been and still are some issues within Fiddler's Creek as to village and condo association common property not being deeded to the condo or village association and, in some cases, including pools and community roadways. Mr. DiNardo asked for a list.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Approval of Minutes**

- **February 10, 2011 Joint Special Meeting**

Mr. Brougham presented the February 10, 2011 Joint Special Meeting Minutes and asked for any additions, corrections or deletions.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 by Mr. Schutt and seconded by Mr. Brougham, with all in favor, the February 10, 2011 Joint Special Meeting Minutes, as presented, were approved.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, the February 10, 2011 Joint Special Meeting Minutes, as presented, were approved.

- **February 23, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Minutes**

Mr. Brougham presented the February 23, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Minutes and asked for any additions, corrections or deletions. The following changes were made:

Line 32: Remove "(via telephone)"

Line 37 and throughout: Change "Darbon" to "Darbout"

Line 146: Change "Gordy Dessau" to "Jordi Guso"

Line 288: Change "is bored" to "is not born"

Line 321: Change "light" to "tight"

Line 329: Change "anybody" to "anybody's property"

Line 392: Replace the blank underline with "The Board"

Line 397: Change "defer" to "differ"

Line 661: Change "debunked" to "defuncted"

Line 664: Change "rejected" to "revised"

Line 701: Change "David Plummer" to "Mark Gillis" and insert "David" after "of"

Line 800: Change "portable" to "potable"

Line 912: Change "send" to "draft"

Line 919: Change "thorough" to "through"

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Bergmoser, with all in favor, the February 23, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Minutes, as amended, were approved.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, the February 23, 2011 Joint Regular Meeting Minutes, as amended, were approved.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Other Business

Mr. Sanford requested that the town hall meeting be made available by phone. Mr. Adams indicated, due to the high cost, the call in option is reserved for staff and Board only and there is no legal requirement that this be provided to the general public Brief debate ensued regarding who should be allowed to call in.

It was suggested, by an audience member, that the Boards consider hiring a professional moderator for the town hall meeting.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

a. Attorney

There being nothing additional, the next item followed.

b. Manager

i. NEXT MEETING DATE: April 27, 2011 at 8:00 A.M.

Mr. Adams indicated the next regular meeting will be held on April 27, 2011 at 8:00 a.m., at this location.

c. Operations Manager

Ms. Crismond reported that the Aviamar fountain is running.

Ms. Crismond reported on the status of the front entry decorative fountains project that was approved by CDD #1, last month. Pinnacle Pools was awarded the contract but had submitted aboveground boring, where belowground is necessary. Ms. Crismond had Pinnacle Pools back out their $600 costs for aboveground and obtained a quote of $2,750 from South Florida Excavation for the below ground boring. As a result, she requested the Board to approve an additional $2,150, which is the difference between the $2,750 and $600, to complete the project, bringing the final total for the project to $8,438.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Curland with all in favor, adjustment of the Pinnacle Pools contract to remove $600 for aboveground boring and the inclusion of the South Florida Excavation proposal for $2,750 for underground boring required to retrofit the South fountain at the front entry fountains, for a final project cost not to exceed $8,500, was approved.

Regarding the Veneta fountain, Ms. Crismond indicated the pump project was completed on March 10; however, after completion, there were issues with power fluctuations and low voltage. As a result, the breakers burned and the relays and contacts had to be special ordered and work is being done today.

Ms. Crismond reported the patrol stats indicating, as of Friday, March 18, there were 35 total stops; 21 residents, seven (7) guests, four (4) staff and three (3) vendors. Patrol services completed five (5) details during the month. Ten (10) citations and 25 warnings were issued. The stops were comprised of 20 for speeding and 15 for stop sign violations.

Mr. Vajen noted the new water restrictions reduce allowable watering days down from three (3) days per week to two (2). He has the capability to make this adjustment in the computer to comply. Discussion ensued regarding whether watering could be further limited to

once per week. Mr. Vajen felt it would not be possible during the dry season but noted they monitor the overall conditions and only water, as needed.

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #1 ITEMS

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Discussion: School Bus Stop**

Mr. Brougham reviewed the letter received from Mr. John McCloskey, Safety Manager for the District School Board of Collier County, regarding the CDD's concerns and noted, due to no response, he emailed and had a telephone conversation with Mr. Albanese, President of Marco Charter Middle School. Mr. Brougham reported that the school board does not want the buses entering the parking lot and/or turning around in it. Mr. Brougham informed them it is fine, so long as parents do not park their cars along Fiddler's Creek Parkway; they must wait in the parking lot. If they do not follow the rules, the District will need to enforce its rules, to the extent that it can.

Mr. Pires suggested the District consider installing signs. Mr. Brougham felt they should try just informing parents, first.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Approval of February 14, 2011 Continued Meeting Minutes**

Mr. Brougham presented the February 14, 2011 Continued Meeting Minutes and asked if for any additions, deletions or corrections.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Schutt, with all in favor, the February 14, 2011 Continued Meeting Minutes, as presented, were approved.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Unaudited Financial Statements as of February 28, 2011**

Mr. Brougham indicated due from developer funds were received. Mr. Adams noted two (2) payments, for each of the off-roll funds, were received but management did not have the

650 opportunity to deposit them; therefore, they are not reflected on the February financials as
651 received.

652 In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Mr. Adams confirmed the Wilma Fund loan
653 will be paid off this year; according to the amortization schedule, the last payment will be in
654 March and there will be some residual funds. Regarding the residual funds, Mr. Adams
655 suggested waiting a few months and then either transferring them to general fund 001 or
656 crediting them back to the property owners in the subsequent budget.

657

658 **FIFTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Audience Comments/Supervisors'**
659 **Requests**
660

661 Mr. Brougham indicated a traffic study will be completed by the state Department of
662 Transportation within the next 30 days. Mr. Brougham indicated this came about through his
663 discussions with Collier Department of Transportation and the Florida Department of
664 Transportation (FDOT). He reminded this is no guarantee they will receive the warrants for a
665 traffic signal. Mr. Brougham confirmed the FDOT will pay for the study.

666 Mr. Brougham asked for the status of the bid specifications for security. Mr. Pires
667 indicated it is being completed and is nearly ready.

668

669 **SIXTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Continuation: Fiddler's Creek CDD #1**
670

671 There being no further business, CDD #1 continued the meeting to Tuesday, March 29,
672 2011 at 8:00 a.m., with the continuation to include an executive session.

673

674 **On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD # by Mr. Brougham**
675 **and seconded by Mr. Schutt, with all in favor, the Fiddler's**
676 **Creek CDD #1 meeting recessed and continuation of the**
677 **meeting to Tuesday, March 29, 2011 at 8:00 a.m., at this**
678 **location, and to include an executive session, was approved.**

679
680

681 **FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD #2 ITEMS**
682

683 **SEVENTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Unaudited Financial Statements as of**
684 **February 28, 2010**
685

Mr. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statement as of February 28, 2011 and indicated the District is in good shape cash-wise; however, liabilities and what is owed back to the developer for the previous shortfall funding continues to increase. The CDD has received assessment money to offset current operating costs. Mr. Correia asked if the CDD will be able to avoid an increase in assessments. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively, indicating the operation and maintenance (O&M) assessments will be treated separately from the debt service side. He felt, upon confirmation, the CDD will receive all back on-roll O and M assessment amounts due, including fiscal years 2010, 2011, less the amounts advanced by the developer. Mr. Adams briefly described the process by which the funds will be handled.

EIGHTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Audience Comments/Supervisors' Requests**

Mr. Sanford expressed his feeling that the town hall meeting should be as widespread as possible. He voiced his opinion that, in today's market, there is little difference between trying to sell lots or homes. He indicated he disagrees with Mr. DeMarco's hypothetical situation because they are talking about special revenue bonds and felt Mr. DeMarco's hypothetical situation was more related to Collier County and its general obligations.

A resident referred to the financials and questioned spending of 40% of the budget in just two (2) months. Mr. Adams clarified that the CDD's fiscal year starts in October, so they are five (5) months into the budget year and, thus the year-to-date prorated budget would be 42%.

Ms. DiNardo asked about fire department signs that were installed and asked if residents could be notified when something like that happens. Mr. Correia indicated the signs are to denote the composition of the roof of the structures so the fire department knows what they are encountering; these signs will be installed statewide.

NINETEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Adjournment: Fiddler's Creek CDD #2**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, the Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 meeting adjourned at 11:10 a.m.

Fiddler's Creek CDD #1

_____ _____
Secretary/Assistant Secretary Chair/Vice Chair

Fiddler's Creek CDD #2

_____ _____
Secretary/Assistant Secretary Chair/Vice Chair

MINUTES OF MEETING
FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2

A Continued Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2 was held on **Monday, February 14, 2011** at **9:30 a.m.,** at the **Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34114**.

Present at the meeting were:

James Robertson	Chair
Manuel Correia	Vice Chair
Victoria DiNardo	Assistant Secretary
Gretchen Scott (via telephone)	Assistant Secretary
Peggy Schmitt	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams (via telephone)	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Operations Manager
Robert DeMarco	Treiser Collins, CDD #2 Special Counsel
Elliott Miller	Resident
Amanda Barton	ITG Holdings, LLC
Andrew Sanford	ITG Holdings, LLC

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS — Call to Order/Roll Call

Ms. Crismond called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m., and noted, for the record, that Supervisors Robertson, Correia, DiNardo and Schmitt were present, in person; Supervisor Scott was attending by telephone.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS — Convene Public Meeting

Ms. Crismond convened the Public Meeting at 9:31 a.m.

Mr. Elliott Miller, a resident, stated he felt the Board would benefit from a presentation by Raymond James. He suggested that the Board seek a continuance, of the determination of the adequacy of the disclosure statement, until they have heard a presentation by Raymond James, the developer and bond counsel. He also stated many of the comments from interested parties

will raise problems. He suggested that when they are presented with a problem, they should press for a reasonable solution.

Mr. Correia indicated his agreement with Mr. Miller. He stated on Saturday night, he realized his inadequacy and unawareness of how to tie all of the information in the documents together and he was unsure that this meeting would make things clearer. Mr. Robertson indicated they are at a critical junction because they want the developer to succeed but their objective is to ensure that the interests of CDD #2 are maintained and they do not allow for any future problems.

Mr. Miller felt it would be helpful to Mr. DeMarco, as well as the Board, to have bond counsel attend a meeting, along with Raymond James, to review and explain the situation and he expressed his hope that this would be done in a public session, for everyone's benefit.

With respect to the docket for the next hearing, Mr. Sanford advised Wednesday is an expedited settlement for Fifth Third Bank, which would affect CDD #2, particularly for the 2004 bonds and how those properties would change title. He asked if anyone would like to receive a copy of the draft objection by U.S. Bank, as indenture trustee for both Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 and #2. Mr. DeMarco stated when those documents are actually filed with the court, in their final form, he will download them and provide them to the District Manager for distribution to both CDD websites and make them available to both Boards.

Ms. Barton stated she believes the indenture trustee has asked the CDD Boards to join in the objections and she stressed the importance of the Board reviewing the documents before deciding what to do in the Executive Session. She indicated that the hearing on Wednesday is a hearing on the disclosure statement and they do not have to decide whether they are going to accept or reject the plan, as put forth by the debtors. Ms. Barton advised, the intention is to decide whether there is enough information in the disclosure statement to allow the Board to vote whether to accept or reject the plan. The indenture trustee's objection is asking for more information, or adequate disclosure, so that they can make a decision regarding the plan. Ms. Barton stated some serious repercussions can occur as a result of the way the bonds are being treated. She explained that there is a huge issue as far as taxability, as to how they will physically separate the bonds, whether or not they are on-roll or off-roll and other issues that must be resolved prior to voting on the plans.

Mr. Correia asked Mr. DeMarco if the concerns expressed by Ms. Barton regarding having more time are the same as those expressed earlier by Mr. Miller. Mr. Demarco stated if the Board is going to proceed responsibly, they need to understand what it is they are doing.

Mr. DeMarco stated he is not aware that any party to the case has filed a motion for continuance, including the indenture trustee or any members of bond counsel that he was in touch with over the weekend. Mr. DeMarco indicated they will determine to what extent they want to move for a continuance and ask the Board to provide more time to review the information. He stated he will know more, at the end of the Executive Session, where the problems are.

Mr. Miller stated Mr. DeMarco indicated that the indenture trustee's comments are in draft form and have not been finalized. He advised they are dealing with more than the inadequacy of information because the volume they are given may be so vast that the clarity is lost. He again suggested that they consider asking for a continuance so that that Board will be able to make a reasonable decision once the indenture trustee's comments are in final form.

Ms. Barton stated this objection is based on what was filed on Thursday night at 10:00 p.m., by the debtors. She explained that written objections are due by midnight tonight so there will be no additional comments tomorrow and the comments will not change dramatically. Mr. Sanford advised the Board that he and Ms. Barton wanted the Board to know the indenture trustee's position, prior to their discussions in Executive Session.

Mr. Robertson indicated the Board also has to take into account their district special counsel's position. Mr. DeMarco stated his position is that he would like to discuss this with the Board in the Executive Session and come to a conclusion with respect to anything the public suggested today.

The Public Meeting recessed at 9:47 a.m.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS **Convene Executive Session**

The Executive Session convened at 9:48 a.m.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Adjourn Executive Session**

The Executive Session adjourned at 11:56 a.m.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Reconvene Public Meeting**

The Public Meeting reconvened at 11:57 a.m. Ms. Crismond noted, for the record, that all Supervisors were in attendance.

Mr. Robertson indicated that the first order of business was to make a motion to have the Board vote on direction to District Counsel.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Robertson and seconded by Mr. Correia, with all in favor, with regard to the proposed settlement by and between the debtor and Fifth Third Bank, allowing District Counsel to file objections to the agreement and motion filed by the debtors on February 8, 2011, by the end of the day, was approved.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Robertson and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, allowing District Counsel to file a document, in the bankruptcy proceedings, joining the Indenture Trustee's objection to the First Amended Joint Consolidated Disclosure Statement, was approved.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Ms. DiNardo and seconded by Mr. Robertson, with all in favor, allowing District Special Counsel to add to its response to the First Amended Joint Consolidated Disclosure Statement that the five (5)-page spreadsheet, listing the post petition Operation and Maintenance Assessment arrearages, should be attached as an exhibit to the Disclosure Statement and that the Disclosure Statement and the plans should take into account and confirm how those particular amounts will be treated, was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:01 p.m.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek CDD #2 by Mr. Correia and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned at 12:01 p.m.

_____ _____

Secretary/Assistant Secretary Chair/Vice Chair

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2011

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
MARCH 31, 2011

	General	Debt Service Series 2003	Debt Service Series 2004	Debt Service Series 2005	Capital Projects Series 2003	Capital Projects Series 2004	Capital Projects Series 2005	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS								
Cash	$ 281,282	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 281,282
Investments								
Revenue A	-	589,641	291,722	158,495	-	-	-	1,039,858
Revenue B	-	3,023	-	-	-	-	-	3,023
Reserve A	-	342,402	-	1,556	-	-	-	343,958
Reserve B	-	15,854	-	-	-	-	-	15,854
Prepayment A	-	8,493	28,065	96,298	-	-	-	132,856
Prepayment B	-	3,259	-	-	-	-	-	3,259
Remedial	-	10,174	832	46,085	-	-	-	57,091
Construction	-	-	-	-	1,525,240	15,302	3,533,205	5,073,747
Due from other funds								
General fund	-	42	18	6	-	-	-	66
Fiddlers I	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	84
Debt service fund series 2003	-	-	-	51	-	-	-	51
Debt service fund series 2004	-	-	-	37	-	-	-	37
Due from Developer	46,617	1,311,552	849,917	2,629,412	-	-	-	4,837,498
Bush Ross retainer	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,000
Total assets	$ 332,983	$ 2,284,440	$ 1,170,554	$ 2,931,940	$ 1,525,240	$ 15,302	$ 3,533,205	$ 11,793,664
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities								
Accounts payable	$ 2,398	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 3,386	$ -	$ 156	$ 5,940
Due to other funds								
Debt service fund series 2003	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
Debt service fund series 2004	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
Debt service fund series 2005	6	51	37	-	-	-	-	94
Due to developer	411,011	-	-	-	-	-	-	411,011
Deferred revenue	46,617	1,311,552	849,917	2,629,412	-	-	-	4,837,498
Total liabilities	460,092	1,311,603	849,954	2,629,412	3,386	-	156	5,254,603
Fund balances								
Reserved for:								
Debt service	-	972,837	320,600	302,528	-	-	-	1,595,965
Capital projects	-	-	-	-	1,521,854	15,302	3,533,049	5,070,205
Unreserved, undesignated	(127,109)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(127,109)
Total fund balances	(127,109)	972,837	320,600	302,528	1,521,854	15,302	3,533,049	6,539,061
Total liabilities & fund balances	$ 332,983	$ 2,284,440	$ 1,170,554	$ 2,931,940	$ 1,525,240	$ 15,302	$ 3,533,205	$ 11,793,664

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GENERAL FUND
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy: on-roll - net	$ 15,043	$ 505,322	$ 984,138	51%
Assessment levy: off-roll	48,086	120,216	270,733	44%
Interest & miscellaneous	55	607	1,000	61%
Total revenues	63,184	626,145	1,255,871	50%
EXPENDITURES				
Administrative				
Supervisors	861	7,320	12,275	60%
Management	5,487	32,924	65,849	50%
Assessment roll preparation	-	22,500	22,500	100%
Audit	2,400	9,350	10,000	94%
Legal	560	6,676	13,000	51%
Legal - foreclosure	100,942	366,487	10,000	3665%
Engineering	310	2,937	10,000	29%
Telephone	18	111	221	50%
Postage	92	874	2,000	44%
Insurance	-	10,732	6,925	155%
Printing and binding	46	278	556	50%
Legal advertising	-	120	2,500	5%
Office supplies	-	597	850	70%
Annual District filing fee	-	175	175	100%
Trustee	877	23,137	25,500	91%
Arbitrage rebate calculation	-	-	8,000	0%
Dissemination agent	1,294	7,763	15,525	50%
Contingency	1,150	2,795	20,000	14%
Total administrative	114,037	494,776	225,876	219%
Field management				
Field management services	915	5,490	10,980	50%
Total field management	915	5,490	10,980	50%
Water mangement				
Other contractual	4,625	23,125	60,569	38%
Fountains	6,406	42,553	87,000	49%
Total water management	11,031	65,678	147,569	45%
Street lighting				
Contractual services	-	1,584	2,500	63%
Electricity	810	4,331	8,000	54%
Miscellaneous	-	-	500	0%
Total street lighting	810	5,915	11,000	54%

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GENERAL FUND
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date	Budget	% of Budget
Landscaping				
Other contractual	-	157,124	565,000	28%
Improvements and renovations	5,140	7,210	25,000	29%
Contingencies	-	-	5,000	0%
Total landscaping	5,140	164,334	595,000	28%
Access control				
Contractual services	21,536	58,328	135,526	43%
Rentals & leases	316	4,038	11,373	36%
Fuel	494	2,271	3,714	61%
Repairs & maintenance - parts	91	793	3,095	26%
Repairs & maintenance - gate house	203	3,676	6,190	59%
Insurance	-	1,722	2,104	82%
Operating supplies	687	5,901	12,379	48%
Total access control	23,327	76,729	174,381	44%
Roadway maintenance				
Contractual services (street cleaning)	798	3,275	10,000	33%
Roadway maintenance	465	1,715	2,500	69%
Total roadway services	1,263	4,990	12,500	40%
Irrigation				
Controller repairs & maintenance	9	44	4,000	1%
Supply system	5,029	22,426	38,685	58%
Total irrigation	5,038	22,470	42,685	53%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	-	15,377	0%
Tax collector	300	9,877	20,503	48%
Total other fees & charges	300	9,877	35,880	28%
Total expenditures and other charges	161,861	850,259	1,255,871	68%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	(98,677)	(224,114)	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transfer in	89,370	357,828	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	89,370	357,828	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	(9,307)	133,714	-	
Fund balances - beginning	(117,802)	(260,823)	43,708	
Fund balances - ending	$(127,109)	$(127,109)	$ 43,708	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 2003
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy: on-roll - net	$ 16,540	$ 557,910	$ 1,081,948	52%
Assessment levy: off-roll	-	-	1,143,848	0%
Assessment prepayments	-	4,612	-	N/A
Interest	58	265	-	N/A
Total revenues	16,598	562,787	2,225,796	25%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal A	-	-	415,000	0%
Interest A	-	-	1,554,863	0%
Interest B	-	-	216,488	0%
Total debt service	-	-	2,186,351	0%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	-	16,905	0%
Tax collector	331	10,862	22,540	48%
Total other fees & charges	331	10,862	39,445	28%
Total expenditures	331	10,862	2,225,796	0%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	16,267	551,925	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transer out	(24,221)	(106,384)	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	(24,221)	(106,384)	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	(7,954)	445,541	-	
Fund balances - beginning	980,791	527,296	1,797,810	
Fund balances - ending	$ 972,837	$ 972,837	$ 1,797,810	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 2004
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy: on-roll - net	$ 7,226	$ 242,749	$ 472,618	51%
Assessment levy: off-roll	-	-	541,888	0%
Prepaid assessments	-	14,884	-	N/A
Interest	22	90	-	N/A
Total revenues	7,248	257,723	1,014,506	25%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal	-	-	165,000	0%
Interest	-	-	832,275	0%
Total debt service	-	-	997,275	0%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	-	7,385	0%
Tax collector	144	4,745	9,846	48%
Total other fees & charges	144	4,745	17,231	28%
Total expenditures	144	4,745	1,014,506	0%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	7,104	252,978	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transfer out	(64,273)	(111,488)	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	(64,273)	(111,488)	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	(57,169)	141,490	-	
Fund balances - beginning	377,769	179,110	527,726	
Fund balances - ending	$ 320,600	$ 320,600	$ 527,726	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 2005
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy: on-roll - net	$ 2,460	$ 83,540	$ 161,014	52%
Assessment levy: off-roll	-	-	2,630,256	0%
Assessment prepayments	-	34,767	-	N/A
Interest	21	115	-	N/A
Total revenues	2,481	118,422	2,791,270	4%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal	-	-	530,000	0%
Interest	-	-	2,255,400	0%
Total debt service	-	-	2,785,400	0%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	-	2,516	0%
Tax collector	51	1,616	3,354	48%
Total other fees & charges	51	1,616	5,870	28%
Total expenditures	51	1,616	2,791,270	0%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	2,430	116,806	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transfer out	(877)	(877)	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	(877)	(877)	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	1,553	115,929	-	
Fund balances - beginning	300,975	186,599	225,412	
Fund balances - ending	$ 302,528	$ 302,528	$ 225,412	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND SERIES 2003
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date
REVENUES		
Interest	$ 117	$ 756
Total revenues	117	756
EXPENDITURES		
Capital outlay	-	-
Total expenditures	-	-
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	117	756
Fund balances - beginning	1,521,737	1,521,098
Fund balances - ending	$ 1,521,854	$ 1,521,854

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND SERIES 2004
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date
REVENUES		
Interest income	$ 1	$ 8
Total revenues	1	8
EXPENDITURES	-	-
Total expenditures	-	-
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	1	8
Fund balances - beginning	15,301	15,294
Fund balances - ending	$ 15,302	$ 15,302

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND SERIES 2005
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year to Date
REVENUES		
Interest & miscellaneous	$ 269	$ 51,514
Total revenues	269	51,514
EXPENDITURES		
Capital outlay	4,136	16,603
Total expenditures	4,136	16,603
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	(3,867)	34,911
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)		
Transfers out	-	(139,080)
Total other financing sources/(uses)	-	(139,080)
Net change in fund balances	(3,867)	(104,169)
Fund balances - beginning	3,536,916	3,637,218
Fund balances - ending	$ 3,533,049	$ 3,533,049

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2003 A-1
AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE $4,715,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ 390,000.00	6.000%	$ 98,400.00	$ 488,400.00
11/01/2010	-	-	86,700.00	86,700.00
05/01/2011	415,000.00	6.000%	86,700.00	501,700.00
11/01/2011	-	-	74,250.00	74,250.00
05/01/2012	435,000.00	6.000%	74,250.00	509,250.00
11/01/2012	-	-	61,200.00	61,200.00
05/01/2013	465,000.00	6.000%	61,200.00	526,200.00
11/01/2013	-	-	47,250.00	47,250.00
05/01/2014	495,000.00	6.000%	47,250.00	542,250.00
11/01/2014	-	-	32,400.00	32,400.00
05/01/2015	525,000.00	6.000%	32,400.00	557,400.00
11/01/2015	-	-	16,650.00	16,650.00
05/01/2016	555,000.00	6.000%	16,650.00	571,650.00
Total	$ 3,280,000.00		$ 735,300.00	$ 4,015,300.00

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2003 A-2
$21,670,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ -	-	$ 690,731.25	$ 690,731.25
11/01/2010	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2011	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
11/01/2011	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2012	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
11/01/2012	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2013	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
11/01/2013	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2014	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
11/01/2014	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2015	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
11/01/2015	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2016	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
11/01/2016	-	-	690,731.25	690,731.25
05/01/2017	625,000.00	6.375%	690,731.25	1,315,731.25
11/01/2017	-	-	670,809.38	670,809.38
05/01/2018	645,000.00	6.375%	670,809.38	1,315,809.38
11/01/2018	-	-	650,250.00	650,250.00
05/01/2019	685,000.00	6.375%	650,250.00	1,335,250.00
11/01/2019	-	-	628,415.63	628,415.63
05/01/2020	735,000.00	6.375%	628,415.63	1,363,415.63
11/01/2020	-	-	604,987.50	604,987.50
05/01/2021	780,000.00	6.375%	604,987.50	1,384,987.50
11/01/2021	-	-	580,125.00	580,125.00
05/01/2022	830,000.00	6.375%	580,125.00	1,410,125.00
11/01/2022	-	-	553,668.75	553,668.75
05/01/2023	885,000.00	6.375%	553,668.75	1,438,668.75
11/01/2023	-	-	525,459.38	525,459.38
05/01/2024	945,000.00	6.375%	525,459.38	1,470,459.38
11/01/2024	-	-	495,337.50	495,337.50
05/01/2025	1,010,000.00	6.375%	495,337.50	1,505,337.50
11/01/2025	-	-	463,143.75	463,143.75
05/01/2026	1,075,000.00	6.375%	463,143.75	1,538,143.75
11/01/2026	-	-	428,878.13	428,878.13
05/01/2027	1,145,000.00	6.375%	428,878.13	1,573,878.13
11/01/2027	-	-	392,381.25	392,381.25
05/01/2028	1,220,000.00	6.375%	392,381.25	1,612,381.25
11/01/2028	-	-	353,493.75	353,493.75
05/01/2029	1,300,000.00	6.375%	353,493.75	1,653,493.75
11/01/2029	-	-	312,056.25	312,056.25

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2003 A-2
$21,670,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2030	1,385,000.00	6.375%	312,056.25	1,697,056.25
11/01/2030	-	-	267,909.38	267,909.38
05/01/2031	1,475,000.00	6.375%	267,909.38	1,742,909.38
11/01/2031	-	-	220,893.75	220,893.75
05/01/2032	1,575,000.00	6.375%	220,893.75	1,795,893.75
11/01/2032	-	-	170,690.63	170,690.63
05/01/2033	1,680,000.00	6.375%	170,690.63	1,850,690.63
11/01/2033	-	-	117,140.63	117,140.63
05/01/2034	1,790,000.00	6.375%	117,140.63	1,907,140.63
11/01/2034	-	-	60,084.38	60,084.38
05/01/2035	1,885,000.00	6.375%	60,084.38	1,945,084.38
Total	$ 21,670,000.00		$ 25,352,418.83	$ 47,022,418.83

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2003 B
$9,905,000

Date	Principal	Coupon	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ -	-	$ 108,243.75	$ 108,243.75
11/01/2010	-	-	108,243.75	108,243.75
05/01/2011	-	-	108,243.75	108,243.75
11/01/2011	-	-	108,243.75	108,243.75
05/01/2012	-	-	108,243.75	108,243.75
11/01/2012	-	-	108,243.75	108,243.75
05/01/2013	3,770,000.00	5.750%	108,243.75	3,878,243.75
Total	$ 3,770,000.00		$ 757,706.25	$ 4,527,706.25

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2004
$17,905,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	155,000.00	6.750%	421,368.75	$ 576,368.75
11/01/2010	-	-	416,137.50	416,137.50
05/01/2011	165,000.00	6.750%	416,137.50	581,137.50
11/01/2011	-	-	410,568.75	410,568.75
05/01/2012	175,000.00	6.750%	410,568.75	585,568.75
11/01/2012	-	-	404,662.50	404,662.50
05/01/2013	190,000.00	6.750%	404,662.50	594,662.50
11/01/2013	-	-	398,250.00	398,250.00
05/01/2014	205,000.00	6.750%	398,250.00	603,250.00
11/01/2014	-	-	391,331.25	391,331.25
05/01/2015	215,000.00	6.750%	391,331.25	606,331.25
11/01/2015	-	-	384,075.00	384,075.00
05/01/2016	230,000.00	6.750%	384,075.00	614,075.00
11/01/2016	-	-	376,312.50	376,312.50
05/01/2017	250,000.00	6.750%	376,312.50	626,312.50
11/01/2017	-	-	367,875.00	367,875.00
05/01/2018	265,000.00	6.750%	367,875.00	632,875.00
11/01/2018	-	-	358,931.25	358,931.25
05/01/2019	285,000.00	6.750%	358,931.25	643,931.25
11/01/2019	-	-	349,312.50	349,312.50
05/01/2020	305,000.00	6.750%	349,312.50	654,312.50
11/01/2020	-	-	339,018.75	339,018.75
05/01/2021	325,000.00	6.750%	339,018.75	664,018.75
11/01/2021	-	-	328,050.00	328,050.00
05/01/2022	350,000.00	6.750%	328,050.00	678,050.00
11/01/2022	-	-	316,237.50	316,237.50
05/01/2023	375,000.00	6.750%	316,237.50	691,237.50
11/01/2023	-	-	303,581.25	303,581.25
05/01/2024	400,000.00	6.750%	303,581.25	703,581.25
11/01/2024	-	-	290,081.25	290,081.25
05/01/2025	425,000.00	6.750%	290,081.25	715,081.25
11/01/2025	-	-	275,737.50	275,737.50
05/01/2026	455,000.00	6.750%	275,737.50	730,737.50
11/01/2026	-	-	260,381.25	260,381.25
05/01/2027	490,000.00	6.750%	260,381.25	750,381.25
11/01/2027	-	-	243,843.75	243,843.75
05/01/2028	525,000.00	6.750%	243,843.75	768,843.75
11/01/2028	-	-	226,125.00	226,125.00
05/01/2029	560,000.00	6.750%	226,125.00	786,125.00

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2004
$17,905,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	207,225.00	207,225.00
05/01/2030	600,000.00	6.750%	207,225.00	807,225.00
11/01/2030	-	-	186,975.00	186,975.00
05/01/2031	640,000.00	6.750%	186,975.00	826,975.00
11/01/2031	-	-	165,375.00	165,375.00
05/01/2032	685,000.00	6.750%	165,375.00	850,375.00
11/01/2032	-	-	142,256.25	142,256.25
05/01/2033	735,000.00	6.750%	142,256.25	877,256.25
11/01/2033	-	-	117,450.00	117,450.00
05/01/2034	785,000.00	6.750%	117,450.00	902,450.00
11/01/2034	-	-	90,956.25	90,956.25
05/01/2035	840,000.00	6.750%	90,956.25	930,956.25
11/01/2035	-	-	62,606.25	62,606.25
05/01/2036	895,000.00	6.750%	62,606.25	957,606.25
11/01/2036	-	-	32,400.00	32,400.00
05/01/2037	960,000.00	6.750%	32,400.00	992,400.00
Total	$ 12,485,000.00		$ 15,312,881.25	$ 27,797,881.25

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2005
$38,850,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ 495,000.00	6.000%	$ 1,142,550.00	$ 1,637,550.00
11/01/2010	-	-	1,127,700.00	1,127,700.00
05/01/2011	530,000.00	6.000%	1,127,700.00	1,657,700.00
11/01/2011	-	-	1,111,800.00	1,111,800.00
05/01/2012	565,000.00	6.000%	1,111,800.00	1,676,800.00
11/01/2012	-	-	1,094,850.00	1,094,850.00
05/01/2013	600,000.00	6.000%	1,094,850.00	1,694,850.00
11/01/2013	-	-	1,076,850.00	1,076,850.00
05/01/2014	635,000.00	6.000%	1,076,850.00	1,711,850.00
11/01/2014	-	-	1,057,800.00	1,057,800.00
05/01/2015	675,000.00	6.000%	1,057,800.00	1,732,800.00
11/01/2015	-	-	1,037,550.00	1,037,550.00
05/01/2016	720,000.00	6.000%	1,037,550.00	1,757,550.00
11/01/2016	-	-	1,015,950.00	1,015,950.00
05/01/2017	765,000.00	6.000%	1,015,950.00	1,780,950.00
11/01/2017	-	-	993,000.00	993,000.00
05/01/2018	810,000.00	6.000%	993,000.00	1,803,000.00
11/01/2018	-	-	968,700.00	968,700.00
05/01/2019	860,000.00	6.000%	968,700.00	1,828,700.00
11/01/2019	-	-	942,900.00	942,900.00
05/01/2020	915,000.00	6.000%	942,900.00	1,857,900.00
11/01/2020	-	-	915,450.00	915,450.00
05/01/2021	970,000.00	6.000%	915,450.00	1,885,450.00
11/01/2021	-	-	886,350.00	886,350.00
05/01/2022	1,030,000.00	6.000%	886,350.00	1,916,350.00
11/01/2022	-	-	855,450.00	855,450.00
05/01/2023	1,095,000.00	6.000%	855,450.00	1,950,450.00
11/01/2023	-	-	822,600.00	822,600.00
05/01/2024	1,160,000.00	6.000%	822,600.00	1,982,600.00
11/01/2024	-	-	787,800.00	787,800.00
05/01/2025	1,235,000.00	6.000%	787,800.00	2,022,800.00
11/01/2025	-	-	750,750.00	750,750.00
05/01/2026	1,310,000.00	6.000%	750,750.00	2,060,750.00
11/01/2026	-	-	711,450.00	711,450.00
05/01/2027	1,390,000.00	6.000%	711,450.00	2,101,450.00
11/01/2027	-	-	669,750.00	669,750.00
05/01/2028	1,475,000.00	6.000%	669,750.00	2,144,750.00
11/01/2028	-	-	625,500.00	625,500.00
05/01/2029	1,570,000.00	6.000%	625,500.00	2,195,500.00
11/01/2029	-	-	578,400.00	578,400.00

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #2
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2005
$38,850,000

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2030	1,665,000.00	6.000%	578,400.00	2,243,400.00
11/01/2030	-	-	528,450.00	528,450.00
05/01/2031	1,770,000.00	6.000%	528,450.00	2,298,450.00
11/01/2031	-	-	475,350.00	475,350.00
05/01/2032	1,875,000.00	6.000%	475,350.00	2,350,350.00
11/01/2032	-	-	419,100.00	419,100.00
05/01/2033	1,995,000.00	6.000%	419,100.00	2,414,100.00
11/01/2033	-	-	359,250.00	359,250.00
05/01/2034	2,115,000.00	6.000%	359,250.00	2,474,250.00
11/01/2034	-	-	295,800.00	295,800.00
05/01/2035	2,245,000.00	6.000%	295,800.00	2,540,800.00
11/01/2035	-	-	228,450.00	228,450.00
05/01/2036	2,385,000.00	6.000%	228,450.00	2,613,450.00
11/01/2036	-	-	156,900.00	156,900.00
05/01/2037	2,535,000.00	6.000%	156,900.00	2,691,900.00
11/01/2037	-	-	80,850.00	80,850.00
05/01/2038	2,695,000.00	6.000%	80,850.00	2,775,850.00
Total	$ 38,085,000.00		$ 42,292,050.00	$ 80,377,050.00

MINUTES OF MEETING
FIDDLER'S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1

A Continued Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 was held on **Tuesday, March 29, 2011** at **8:00 a.m.,** at the **Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34114**.

Present at the meeting were:

Phillip Brougham	Chair
Jim Curland	Vice Chair
Jim Schutt	Assistant Secretary
Robert Slater	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Aleida Martinez Molina (via telephone)	Weiss Serota, CDD #1 Special Counsel
Court Reporter	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS **Call to Order/Roll Call**

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 8:05 a.m., and noted, for the record, that all Supervisors Brougham, Curland, Schutt and Slater were present, in person. Supervisor Bergmoser was not present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS **Recess Public Meeting**

Mr. Brougham recalled that Ms. Martinez Molina requested an executive session.

******The public meeting recessed at 8:06 a.m.******

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS **Convene Executive Session**

******The Executive Session convened at 8:06 a.m.******

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Recess Executive Session**

The Executive Session was recessed at 9:20 a.m.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Reconvene Public Meeting**

The Public Meeting was reconvened at 9:20 a.m.

Mr. Adams noted, for the record, that all Supervisors Brougham, Curland, Schutt and Slater were present.

On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Slater, with all in favor, authorization for bankruptcy counsel to redraft the Request for Production of Documents for Debtors, also known as discovery, deleting the documents requested and identified as Numbers 8, 14, 15 and 16; as referenced on the corrected version; and, if necessary, deleting references to Fiddler's Creek CDD #2, was approved.

Mr. Brougham noted the need to discuss scheduling items. Mr. Adams reviewed the current meeting schedule. Mr. Adams recommended holding a meeting in advance of the May 12th deadline for objections. Discussion followed on potential meeting dates. Ms. Martinez Molina stated the specific objection that would be possible is an Objection to the Confirmation of the Plan. She stated she would need some lead time and additional planning and Board meetings would be needed. The actual objection filing needs to be considered earlier than May 10th or 11th. She stated another executive session is needed to discuss the objection.

Mr. Curland asked what circumstance could come up so that the Board would have an interest in filing an objection. Ms. Martinez Molina stated as discovery comes in, the Board needs to be nimble and open-minded. Mr. Brougham asked when discovery will be in. Ms. Martinez Molina stated discovery will be in within 30 days; however, there is the possibility of objections and recommended the ability to discuss the situation in another executive session.

Mr. Adams noted the District is going to file the interrogatory and the request for documents within the next couple of days. They have a 30-days maximum for a response. Discussion followed on the appropriate date to hold another executive session.

Mr. Curland reported he is completing the bid specifications for security services/access control and asked for the appropriate terminology. Mr. Adams recommended using access control.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Curland, with all in favor, the Fiddler's Creek CDD #1 meeting adjourned.

84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93 _____ _____
94 Secretary/Assistant Secretary Chair/Vice Chair
95
96
97

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2011

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
MARCH 31, 2011

	General 001	General 002	Debt Service Series 1999	Debt Service Series 2002	Debt Service Series 2005	Debt Service Series 2006	Capital Projects Series 2005	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS								
Operating account								
SunTrust	$ 378,222	$ 295	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 378,517
Federated	229,509	40,104	-	-	-	-	-	269,613
Community Bank of Broward	250,211	-	-	-	-	-	-	250,211
Community Bank of Broward	96,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	96,700
BB& T - Savings	502	-	-	-	-	-	-	502
BB &T - CDARS	200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	200,000
Investments								
Revenue	-	-	624,452	-	-	366,128	-	990,580
Reserve - series A	-	-	609,656	184,274	71	352,536	-	1,146,537
Reserve - series B	-	-	135,255	61,218	-	-	-	196,473
Prepayment - series A	-	-	60,233	-	-	34,089	-	94,322
Prepayment - series B	-	-	90,284	39	-	-	-	90,323
Rebate	-	-	-	-	-	782	-	782
Debt service	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	13
Remedial expenditure	-	-	-	28,491	5,189	-	-	33,680
Optional redemption	-	-	2,582	-	-	1,492	-	4,074
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	475,051	475,051
Due from other funds	262,337	23,103	122,756	-	-	341,972	-	750,168
Due from Developer	45,717	18,586	-	1,958,676	1,290,866	-	-	3,313,845
Deposits	5,125	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,125
Total Assets	$ 1,468,323	$ 82,088	$ 1,645,218	$2,232,711	$1,296,126	$1,096,999	$ 475,051	$ 8,296,516
LIABILITIES & FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities:								
Debt service payable	$ -	$ -	$ -	$1,214,794	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 1,214,794
Due to other funds								
General fund 001	-	141,466	-	-	120,871	-	-	262,337
General fund 002	23,103	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,103
Debt service fund series 1999	122,742	15	-	-	-	-	-	122,757
Debt service fund series 2006	341,972	-	-	-	-	-	-	341,972
Due to Fiddler's # 2	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	84
Deferred Revenue	45,717	18,586	-	1,958,676	1,290,866	-	-	3,313,845
Total liabilities	533,618	160,067	-	3,173,470	1,411,737	-	-	5,278,892
Fund balances:								
Reserved for:								
Debt service	-	-	1,645,218	(940,759)	(115,611)	1,096,999	-	1,685,847
Capital projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	475,051	475,051
Unreserved, undesignated	934,705	(77,979)	-	-	-	-	-	856,726
Total fund balances	934,705	(77,979)	1,645,218	(940,759)	(115,611)	1,096,999	475,051	3,017,624
Total liabilities and fund balance	$ 1,468,323	$ 82,088	$ 1,645,218	$2,232,711	$1,296,126	$1,096,999	$ 475,051	$ 8,296,516

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GENERAL FUND 001
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy	$ 36,834	$ 1,130,420	$ 1,554,902	73%
Developer assessment	140,359	280,718	561,435	50%
Interest	179	919	3,000	31%
Miscellaneous	429	5,044	5,000	101%
Total revenues	177,801	1,417,101	2,124,337	67%
EXPENDITURES				
Administrative				
Supervisors	1,077	7,489	12,918	58%
Management	4,848	29,087	58,175	50%
Assessment roll preparation	-	25,000	25,000	100%
Accounting services	1,583	9,498	18,997	50%
Audit	4,500	15,000	14,800	101%
Legal	1,174	10,124	20,000	51%
Legal - foreclosure	93,994	310,626	10,000	3106%
Engineering	1,676	9,985	7,500	133%
Telephone	46	278	555	50%
Postage	118	928	3,000	31%
Insurance	-	15,422	15,000	103%
Printing and binding	46	278	555	50%
Legal advertising	-	790	2,000	40%
Office supplies and expenses	45	595	750	79%
Annual district filing fee	-	175	175	100%
Trustee	-	10,626	15,500	69%
Trustee - foreclosure	5,601	8,101	-	N/A
Arbitrage rebate calculation	-	-	4,000	0%
Contingencies	107	739	1,000	74%
Dissemination agent	911	5,464	10,928	50%
Total administrative	115,726	460,205	220,853	208%
Field management				
Field management services	2,102	12,609	25,218	50%
Total field management	2,102	12,609	25,218	50%
Water management maintenance				
Other contractual	8,670	43,348	191,431	23%
Fountains	5,048	22,248	45,000	49%
Total water management maintenance	13,718	65,596	236,431	28%
Street lighting				
Contractual services	842	6,988	20,000	35%
Electricity	3,101	16,339	40,000	41%
Holiday lighting program	-	11,000	12,000	92%
Miscellaneous	-	-	1,500	0%
Total street lighting	3,943	34,327	73,500	47%

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GENERAL FUND 001
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
Landscaping				
Other contractual - landscape maintenance	-	280,480	880,000	32%
Improvements and renovations	810	10,130	75,000	14%
Contingencies	-	-	25,000	0%
Total landscaping	810	290,610	980,000	30%
Access control				
Contractual services	47,935	129,826	302,386	43%
Rentals and leases	756	9,041	25,377	36%
Fuel	1,100	5,055	8,286	61%
Repairs and maintenance - parts	201	1,765	6,905	26%
Repairs and maintenance - gatehouse	452	8,693	13,810	63%
Insurance	-	3,834	4,696	82%
Operating supplies	1,476	13,080	27,621	47%
Total access control	51,920	171,294	389,081	44%
Roadway				
Contractual services	798	2,394	6,500	37%
Roadway maintenance	250	15,701	40,000	39%
Total roadway	1,048	18,095	46,500	39%
Irrigation supply				
Electricity	22	111	750	15%
Repairs and maintenance	-	369	1,500	25%
Supply system	11,194	51,255	86,315	59%
Insurance	-	5,000	-	N/A
Total irrigation supply	11,216	56,735	88,565	64%
Parks & recreation				
Repairs and maintenance	-	200	7,500	3%
Total parks & recreation	-	200	7,500	3%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	26,675	24,295	110%
Tax collector	735	22,505	32,394	69%
Total other fees & charges	735	49,180	56,689	87%
Total expenditures	201,218	1,158,851	2,124,337	55%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	(23,417)	258,250	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transfers in	99,595	305,466	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	99,595	305,466	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	76,178	563,716	-	
Fund balances - beginning	858,527	370,989	567,501	
Fund balances - ending	$ 934,705	$ 934,705	$ 567,501	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GENERAL FUND 002
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy	$ 2,262	$ 69,412	$ 95,394	73%
Developer assessment	12,049	24,098	48,196	50%
Interest	-	69	-	N/A
Total revenues	14,311	93,579	143,590	65%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal debt retirement	140,407	447,286	447,199	100%
Interest	1,059	10,722	10,700	100%
Bank charges	63	368	738	50%
Total debt service	141,529	458,376	458,637	100%
Other fees & charges:				
Property appraiser fees	-	1,637	1,491	110%
Tax collector	46	1,382	1,987	70%
Total other fees & charges	46	3,019	3,478	87%
Total expenditures	141,575	461,395	462,115	100%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	(127,264)	(367,816)	(318,525)	
Fund balances - beginning	49,285	289,837	364,525	
Fund balances - ending	$ (77,979)	$ (77,979)	$ 46,000	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 1999
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy	$ 25,113	$ 770,718	$ 1,061,983	73%
Total revenues	25,113	770,718	1,061,983	73%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal A	-	-	415,000	0%
Principal B	-	-	130,000	0%
Interest A	-	183,888	367,775	50%
Interest B	-	55,245	110,490	50%
Principal prepayment A	-	15,000	10,000	150%
Principal prepayment B	-	30,000	25,000	120%
Total debt service	-	284,133	1,058,265	27%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	18,219	16,593	110%
Tax collector	504	15,346	22,125	69%
Total other fees & charges	504	33,565	38,718	87%
Total expenditures	504	317,698	1,096,983	29%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	24,609	453,020	(35,000)	
Fund balances - beginning	1,620,609	1,192,198	1,203,519	
Fund balances - ending	$ 1,645,218	$ 1,645,218	$ 1,168,519	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 2002
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Developer assessment	$ -	$ -	$ 1,213,163	0%
Interest	23	171	-	N/A
Total revenues	23	171	1,213,163	0%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal A	-	-	170,000	0%
Principal B	-	-	90,000	0%
Interest A	-	-	632,844	0%
Interest B	-	-	320,319	0%
Total debt service	-	-	1,213,163	0%
Total expenditures	-	-	1,213,163	0%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	23	171	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transfer out	(20,559)	(112,319)	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	(20,559)	(112,319)	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	(20,536)	(112,148)	-	
Fund balances - beginning	(920,223)	(828,611)	455,691	
Fund balances - ending	$ (940,759)	$ (940,759)	$ 455,691	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 2005
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Special assessment: off-roll	$ -	$ -	$ 1,312,500	0%
Interest	-	10	-	N/A
Total revenues	-	10	1,312,500	0%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal	-	-	255,000	0%
Interest	-	-	1,057,500	0%
Total debt service	-	-	1,312,500	0%
Total expenditures	-	-	1,312,500	0%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	-	10	-	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)				
Transfer out	-	(60,062)	-	N/A
Total other financing sources/(uses)	-	(60,062)	-	N/A
Net change in fund balances	-	(60,052)	-	
Fund balances - beginning	(115,611)	(55,559)	506	
Fund balances - ending	$ (115,611)	$ (115,611)	$ 506	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
DEBT SERVICE FUND SERIES 2006
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUES				
Assessment levy	$ 16,567	$ 508,441	$ 699,284	73%
Assessment prepayments	-	15,102	-	N/A
Total revenues	16,567	523,543	699,284	75%
EXPENDITURES				
Debt service				
Principal	-	-	485,000	0%
Interest	-	95,171	188,790	50%
Principal prepayment	-	15,000	-	N/A
Total debt service	-	110,171	673,790	16%
Other fees & charges				
Property appraiser	-	11,996	10,926	110%
Tax collector	333	10,125	14,568	70%
Total other fees & charges	333	22,121	25,494	87%
Total expenditures	333	132,292	699,284	19%
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	16,234	391,251	-	
Fund balances - beginning	1,080,765	705,748	739,255	
Fund balances - ending	$ 1,096,999	$ 1,096,999	$ 739,255	

FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND SERIES 2005
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

	Current Month	Year To Date
REVENUES		
Interest	$ 43	$ 434
Total revenues	43	434
EXPENDITURES		
Capital outlay	3,768	19,975
Total expenditures	3,768	19,975
Excess/(deficiency) of revenues over/(under) expenditures	(3,725)	(19,541)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)		
Transfers out	(79,038)	(133,085)
Total other financing sources/(uses)	(79,038)	(133,085)
Net change in fund balances	(82,763)	(152,626)
Fund balances - beginning	557,814	627,677
Fund balances - ending	$ 475,051	$ 475,051

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 1999 A
$9,305,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2010	$ -	-	$ 183,887.50	$ 183,887.50
05/01/2011	415,000.00	5.875%	183,887.50	598,887.50
11/01/2011	-	-	171,696.88	171,696.88
05/01/2012	440,000.00	5.875%	171,696.88	611,696.88
11/01/2012	-	-	158,771.88	158,771.88
05/01/2013	470,000.00	5.875%	158,771.88	628,771.88
11/01/2013	-	-	144,965.63	144,965.63
05/01/2014	495,000.00	5.875%	144,965.63	639,965.63
11/01/2014	-	-	130,425.00	130,425.00
05/01/2015	530,000.00	5.875%	130,425.00	660,425.00
11/01/2015	-	-	114,856.25	114,856.25
05/01/2016	560,000.00	5.875%	114,856.25	674,856.25
11/01/2016	-	-	98,406.25	98,406.25
05/01/2017	595,000.00	5.875%	98,406.25	693,406.25
11/01/2017	-	-	80,928.13	80,928.13
05/01/2018	630,000.00	5.875%	80,928.13	710,928.13
11/01/2018	-	-	62,421.88	62,421.88
05/01/2019	670,000.00	5.875%	62,421.88	732,421.88
11/01/2019	-	-	42,740.63	42,740.63
05/01/2020	710,000.00	5.875%	42,740.63	752,740.63
11/01/2020	-	-	21,884.38	21,884.38
05/01/2021	745,000.00	5.875%	21,884.38	766,884.38
Total	$ 6,260,000.00	-	$ 2,421,968.82	$ 8,681,968.82

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 1999 B
$7,940,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2010	$ -	-	$ 55,245.00	$ 55,245.00
05/01/2011	130,000.00	5.800%	55,245.00	185,245.00
11/01/2011	-	-	51,475.00	51,475.00
05/01/2012	135,000.00	5.800%	51,475.00	186,475.00
11/01/2012	-	-	47,560.00	47,560.00
05/01/2013	145,000.00	5.800%	47,560.00	192,560.00
11/01/2013	-	-	43,355.00	43,355.00
05/01/2014	150,000.00	5.800%	43,355.00	193,355.00
11/01/2014	-	-	39,005.00	39,005.00
05/01/2015	160,000.00	5.800%	39,005.00	199,005.00
11/01/2015	-	-	34,365.00	34,365.00
05/01/2016	170,000.00	5.800%	34,365.00	204,365.00
11/01/2016	-	-	29,435.00	29,435.00
05/01/2017	180,000.00	5.800%	29,435.00	209,435.00
11/01/2017	-	-	24,215.00	24,215.00
05/01/2018	190,000.00	5.800%	24,215.00	214,215.00
11/01/2018	-	-	18,705.00	18,705.00
05/01/2019	205,000.00	5.800%	18,705.00	223,705.00
11/01/2019	-	-	12,760.00	12,760.00
05/01/2020	215,000.00	5.800%	12,760.00	227,760.00
11/01/2020	-	-	6,525.00	6,525.00
05/01/2021	225,000.00	5.800%	6,525.00	231,525.00
Total	$ 1,905,000.00	-	$ 725,290.00	$ 2,630,290.00

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2002 A
$10,120,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ 160,000.00	6.875%	$ 321,921.88	$ 481,921.88
11/01/2010	-	-	316,421.88	316,421.88
05/01/2011	170,000.00	6.875%	316,421.88	486,421.88
11/01/2011	-	-	310,578.13	310,578.13
05/01/2012	180,000.00	6.875%	310,578.13	490,578.13
11/01/2012	-	-	304,390.63	304,390.63
05/01/2013	195,000.00	6.875%	304,390.63	499,390.63
11/01/2013	-	-	297,687.50	297,687.50
05/01/2014	210,000.00	6.875%	297,687.50	507,687.50
11/01/2014	-	-	290,468.75	290,468.75
05/01/2015	225,000.00	6.875%	290,468.75	515,468.75
11/01/2015	-	-	282,734.38	282,734.38
05/01/2016	240,000.00	6.875%	282,734.38	522,734.38
11/01/2016	-	-	274,484.38	274,484.38
05/01/2017	255,000.00	6.875%	274,484.38	529,484.38
11/01/2017	-	-	265,718.75	265,718.75
05/01/2018	275,000.00	6.875%	265,718.75	540,718.75
11/01/2018	-	-	256,265.63	256,265.63
05/01/2019	295,000.00	6.875%	256,265.63	551,265.63
11/01/2019	-	-	246,125.00	246,125.00
05/01/2020	315,000.00	6.875%	246,125.00	561,125.00
11/01/2020	-	-	235,296.88	235,296.88
05/01/2021	340,000.00	6.875%	235,296.88	575,296.88
11/01/2021	-	-	223,609.38	223,609.38
05/01/2022	360,000.00	6.875%	223,609.38	583,609.38
11/01/2022	-	-	211,234.38	211,234.38
05/01/2023	385,000.00	6.875%	211,234.38	596,234.38
11/01/2023	-	-	198,000.00	198,000.00
05/01/2024	415,000.00	6.875%	198,000.00	613,000.00
11/01/2024	-	-	183,734.38	183,734.38
05/01/2025	445,000.00	6.875%	183,734.38	628,734.38
11/01/2025	-	-	168,437.50	168,437.50
05/01/2026	475,000.00	6.875%	168,437.50	643,437.50
11/01/2026	-	-	152,109.38	152,109.38
05/01/2027	510,000.00	6.875%	152,109.38	662,109.38
11/01/2027	-	-	134,578.13	134,578.13
05/01/2028	545,000.00	6.875%	134,578.13	679,578.13
11/01/2028	-	-	115,843.75	115,843.75
05/01/2029	585,000.00	6.875%	115,843.75	700,843.75

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2002 A
$10,120,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	95,734.38	95,734.38
05/01/2030	625,000.00	6.875%	95,734.38	720,734.38
11/01/2030	-	-	74,250.00	74,250.00
05/01/2031	670,000.00	6.875%	74,250.00	744,250.00
11/01/2031	-	-	51,218.75	51,218.75
05/01/2032	720,000.00	6.875%	51,218.75	771,218.75
11/01/2032	-	-	26,468.75	26,468.75
05/01/2033	770,000.00	6.875%	26,468.75	796,468.75
Total	$ 9,365,000.00	-	$ 9,752,703.26	$ 19,117,703.26

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2002 B
$5,330,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ 85,000.00	6.625%	$ 162,975.00	$ 247,975.00
11/01/2010	-	-	160,159.38	160,159.38
05/01/2011	90,000.00	6.625%	160,159.38	250,159.38
11/01/2011	-	-	157,178.13	157,178.13
05/01/2012	100,000.00	6.625%	157,178.13	257,178.13
11/01/2012	-	-	153,865.63	153,865.63
05/01/2013	105,000.00	6.625%	153,865.63	258,865.63
11/01/2013	-	-	150,387.50	150,387.50
05/01/2014	115,000.00	6.625%	150,387.50	265,387.50
11/01/2014	-	-	146,578.13	146,578.13
05/01/2015	120,000.00	6.625%	146,578.13	266,578.13
11/01/2015	-	-	142,603.13	142,603.13
05/01/2016	130,000.00	6.625%	142,603.13	272,603.13
11/01/2016	-	-	138,296.88	138,296.88
05/01/2017	135,000.00	6.625%	138,296.88	273,296.88
11/01/2017	-	-	133,825.00	133,825.00
05/01/2018	145,000.00	6.625%	133,825.00	278,825.00
11/01/2018	-	-	129,021.88	129,021.88
05/01/2019	155,000.00	6.625%	129,021.88	284,021.88
11/01/2019	-	-	123,887.50	123,887.50
05/01/2020	170,000.00	6.625%	123,887.50	293,887.50
11/01/2020	-	-	118,256.25	118,256.25
05/01/2021	180,000.00	6.625%	118,256.25	298,256.25
11/01/2021	-	-	112,293.75	112,293.75
05/01/2022	190,000.00	6.625%	112,293.75	302,293.75
11/01/2022	-	-	106,000.00	106,000.00
05/01/2023	205,000.00	6.625%	106,000.00	311,000.00
11/01/2023	-	-	99,209.38	99,209.38
05/01/2024	220,000.00	6.625%	99,209.38	319,209.38
11/01/2024	-	-	91,921.88	91,921.88
05/01/2025	235,000.00	6.625%	91,921.88	326,921.88
11/01/2025	-	-	84,137.50	84,137.50
05/01/2026	250,000.00	6.625%	84,137.50	334,137.50
11/01/2026	-	-	75,856.25	75,856.25
05/01/2027	265,000.00	6.625%	75,856.25	340,856.25
11/01/2027	-	-	67,078.13	67,078.13
05/01/2028	285,000.00	6.625%	67,078.13	352,078.13
11/01/2028	-	-	57,637.50	57,637.50
05/01/2029	305,000.00	6.625%	57,637.50	362,637.50

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2002 B
$5,330,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	47,534.38	47,534.38
05/01/2030	325,000.00	6.625%	47,534.38	372,534.38
11/01/2030	-	-	36,768.75	36,768.75
05/01/2031	345,000.00	6.625%	36,768.75	381,768.75
11/01/2031	-	-	25,340.63	25,340.63
05/01/2032	370,000.00	6.625%	25,340.63	395,340.63
11/01/2032	-	-	13,084.38	13,084.38
05/01/2033	395,000.00	6.625%	13,084.38	408,084.38
Total	$ 4,920,000.00	-	$ 4,904,818.88	$ 9,824,818.88

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2005
$18,095,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
05/01/2010	$ 240,000.00	6.000%	$ 535,950.00	$ 775,950.00
11/01/2010	-	-	528,750.00	528,750.00
05/01/2011	255,000.00	6.000%	528,750.00	783,750.00
11/01/2011	-	-	521,100.00	521,100.00
05/01/2012	275,000.00	6.000%	521,100.00	796,100.00
11/01/2012	-	-	512,850.00	512,850.00
05/01/2013	290,000.00	6.000%	512,850.00	802,850.00
11/01/2013	-	-	504,150.00	504,150.00
05/01/2014	310,000.00	6.000%	504,150.00	814,150.00
11/01/2014	-	-	494,850.00	494,850.00
05/01/2015	325,000.00	6.000%	494,850.00	819,850.00
11/01/2015	-	-	485,100.00	485,100.00
05/01/2016	345,000.00	6.000%	485,100.00	830,100.00
11/01/2016	-	-	474,750.00	474,750.00
05/01/2017	365,000.00	6.000%	474,750.00	839,750.00
11/01/2017	-	-	463,800.00	463,800.00
05/01/2018	385,000.00	6.000%	463,800.00	848,800.00
11/01/2018	-	-	452,250.00	452,250.00
05/01/2019	410,000.00	6.000%	452,250.00	862,250.00
11/01/2019	-	-	439,950.00	439,950.00
05/01/2020	435,000.00	6.000%	439,950.00	874,950.00
11/01/2020	-	-	426,900.00	426,900.00
05/01/2021	460,000.00	6.000%	426,900.00	886,900.00
11/01/2021	-	-	413,100.00	413,100.00
05/01/2022	490,000.00	6.000%	413,100.00	903,100.00
11/01/2022	-	-	398,400.00	398,400.00
05/01/2023	515,000.00	6.000%	398,400.00	913,400.00
11/01/2023	-	-	382,950.00	382,950.00
05/01/2024	550,000.00	6.000%	382,950.00	932,950.00
11/01/2024	-	-	366,450.00	366,450.00
05/01/2025	580,000.00	6.000%	366,450.00	946,450.00
11/01/2025	-	-	349,050.00	349,050.00
05/01/2026	615,000.00	6.000%	349,050.00	964,050.00
11/01/2026	-	-	330,600.00	330,600.00
05/01/2027	655,000.00	6.000%	330,600.00	985,600.00
11/01/2027	-	-	310,950.00	310,950.00
05/01/2028	695,000.00	6.000%	310,950.00	1,005,950.00
11/01/2028	-	-	290,100.00	290,100.00
05/01/2029	730,000.00	6.000%	290,100.00	1,020,100.00

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2005
$18,095,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	268,200.00	268,200.00
05/01/2030	780,000.00	6.000%	268,200.00	1,048,200.00
11/01/2030	-	-	244,800.00	244,800.00
05/01/2031	825,000.00	6.000%	244,800.00	1,069,800.00
11/01/2031	-	-	220,050.00	220,050.00
05/01/2032	875,000.00	6.000%	220,050.00	1,095,050.00
11/01/2032	-	-	193,800.00	193,800.00
05/01/2033	925,000.00	6.000%	193,800.00	1,118,800.00
11/01/2033	-	-	166,050.00	166,050.00
05/01/2034	980,000.00	6.000%	166,050.00	1,146,050.00
11/01/2034	-	-	136,650.00	136,650.00
05/01/2035	1,040,000.00	6.000%	136,650.00	1,176,650.00
11/01/2035	-	-	105,450.00	105,450.00
05/01/2036	1,105,000.00	6.000%	105,450.00	1,210,450.00
11/01/2036	-	-	72,300.00	72,300.00
05/01/2037	1,170,000.00	6.000%	72,300.00	1,242,300.00
11/01/2037	-	-	37,200.00	37,200.00
05/01/2038	1,240,000.00	6.000%	37,200.00	1,277,200.00
Total	$ 17,865,000.00	-	$ 19,717,050.00	$ 37,582,050.00

Fiddler's Creek

Community Development District #1
Series 2006
$6,570,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2010	$ -	-	$ 95,170.85	$ 95,170.85
05/01/2011	485,000.00	4.200%	93,619.15	578,619.15
11/01/2011	-	-	84,902.14	84,902.14
05/01/2012	505,000.00	4.200%	83,826.74	588,826.74
11/01/2012	-	-	74,007.21	74,007.21
05/01/2013	525,000.00	4.200%	72,932.81	597,932.81
11/01/2013	-	-	63,094.36	63,094.36
05/01/2014	550,000.00	4.200%	62,065.64	612,065.64
11/01/2014	-	-	51,449.42	51,449.42
05/01/2015	570,000.00	4.200%	50,610.58	620,610.58
11/01/2015	-	-	39,381.04	39,381.04
05/01/2016	595,000.00	4.200%	38,882.23	633,882.23
11/01/2016	-	-	26,710.16	26,710.16
05/01/2017	620,000.00	4.200%	26,322.40	646,322.40
11/01/2017	-	-	13,656.33	13,656.33
05/01/2018	645,000.00	4.200%	13,433.67	658,433.67
Total	$ 4,495,000.00	-	$ 890,064.73	$ 5,385,064.73